DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 080 023

FL 004 523

AUTHOR Ludanyi, R. P.; Ehrlich, Roselin

TITLE Santa Fe Bilingual-Bicultural Education Program.

Content Analysis Schedule for Bilingual Education

Programs.

INSTITUTION City Univ. of New York, N.Y. Hunter Coll. Bilingual

Education Applied Research Unit.

SPONS AGENCY Office of Education (DHEW), Washington, D.C. Div. of

Bilingual Education.

PUB DATE Mar 72 NOTE 45p.

EDRS PRICE MF-\$0.65 HC-\$3.29

DESCRIPTORS *Biculturalism; *Bilingualism; Cognitive Development;

*Content Analysis; Curriculum Design; Educational Finance; Elementary School Students; *English;

Learning Theories; Mexican Americans; Program Costs;

Self Esteem; Sociolinguistics: *Spanish; Student

Grouping: Tutoring

IDENTIFIERS New Mexico; *Project BEST; Santa Fe

ABSTRACT

This content analysis schedule for the Santa Fe Bilingual-Bicultural Education Program of Santa Fe, New Mexico, presents information on the history, funding, and scope of the project in its second year. Included are sociolinguistic process variables such as the native and dominant languages of students and their interaction. Information is provided on staff selection and the linguistic background of project teachers. An assessment is made of the duration and extent of the bilingual component, and the methods of second language teaching in general. Included is an analysis of materials, student grouping, tutoring, curriculum patterns, and cognitive development. The report also discusses self-esteem, learning strategies, the bicultural and community components, and means of evaluation. This schedule, which has been verified, includes additional information books and audio-visual materials concerning bilingual education. Attached is an insert on the Human Development Program. (SK)

PROJECT BEST

#336

ERIC

Bilingual Education Applied Research Unit
N.Y.C. Bilingual Consortium
Hunter College Division
695 Park Avenue
N.Y., N.Y.10021

Santa Fé, New Mexico

Verified CHECK

CHECK ODOCUMENTS READ FOR CONTENT ANALYSIS SCHEDULE

 Initial Proposal	
2nd Year Continuation	
 3rd Year Continuation	(on separate C.A.S.)

US DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH,
EDUCATION & WELFARE
NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF
EDUCATION
THIS OOCUMENT HAS BEEN REPRO
OUCED *XACTLY AS RECEIVED FROM
THE PERSON OR OR ORNANIZATION ORIGIN
ATING IT POINTS OF VIEW OR OPINIONS
STATED DO NOT NECESSARILY REPRE
SENT OFFICIAL NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF
EOUCATION POSITION OR POLICY

Give Dates and Note if Evaluation is included in continuation.

	lst year	2nd year	3rd year
Evaluation design	,	, , , ,	
Interim evaluation			
Final evaluation			
Pre-audit			
Interim audit			5
Final audit			

oof 523

BEARU sent Content Analysis Schedule to Santa Fé project, which returned Schedule with corrections and verification.

see annotated bibliography of 39 books concerning bilingual education, beginning 14d-K.

FILMED FROM BEST AVAILABLE COPY

Project BEST Bilingual Education Applied Research Unit Hunter College, 695 Park Ave., N.Y., N.Y. 10021

CONTENT ANALYSIS SCHEDULE FOR BILINGUAL EDUCATION PROGRAMS

PROJECT IDENTIFICATION 0.1 Project Number 0.2 Name of Project (number and street) 1.0 Address of Project (number and street) 1.0.5 State (checklist) 1.0.5 State (checklist) 1.0.6 FROMECT HISTORY, FUNDING AND SCOPE 1.1 Year Project Began under Title VII 2.0 FROMECT HISTORY, FUNDING AND SCOPE 1.2 Year Prior Funding Began 2.3 Student Level (Elementary or Secondary) in Prior Bilingual Program 2.4 Source of Prior Bilingual Program Punding 2.5 Concurrent Funding Degan Program Funding 2.6 Student Level (Elementary or Secondary) Involved in Concurrent Program 2.6 Student Level (Elementary or Secondary) Involved in Concurrent Program 2.6 Student Level (Elementary or Secondary) Involved in Concurrent Program 2.8 Total Title VII Grant (first year) 3.0 UNIVERSITY Involvement with Project 4.0 SCOPE OF PROMECT 4.1 Number of Schools Involved 4.2 Students - total number 4.3 Students - total number 4.3 Students - total number 4.3 Students - grade level, number of classes, and number of students by grouped grade levels 4.4 Kon-graded classes PROCESS VARIABLES 5.0 STUENTS (sociolinguistic) 5.1 Students Deminant and Native Language and Cultural Affiliation (chart) 5.2 Cultural or Ethnic Identification of Target Students 5.3 Ethnic Identity of English Mother Tongue Students 5.4 Students Baive Language if Different from Dominant Language 5.5 Students Dominant Language and Extent of Bilingualism 5.6 Recruitment of Students 5.7 Proportion of EMT Pupils in Project Area 5.8 Community Characteristics 5.9 Socio-Economic Status of N-EMT Participating Students 5.1 Projection of Migrant Students in Project 6.0 SOCIOLINOUSFICE SUMPEr 6.1 Eristence of Survey 6.2 Coups Included in Survey 6.3 Language Deminance by Domains and through Various Means of Community 6.4 Early Parental Attitudes toward Language Haintenance or Shift 6.7 EMT Parental Attitudes toward Language Haintenance or Shift 6.9 Comminity Attitudes toward Native and Second Language Learning 6.9 Comminity Attitudes toward Manive and Second Language Learning 6.9 Comminity Attitudes t		TABLE OF CONTENTS	AGE
0.1 Project Number 0.2 Mame of Project (number and street) 0.3 Address of Project (number and street) 1.0.4 City and State of Project (number and street) 1.0 Address of Project (number and street) 1.0 State (checklist) 1.1 PROVECT HISTORY, FUNDING AND SCOPE 1.1 Year Project Began under Title VII 2.0 FUNDING 2.1 Funding of Bilingual Program, Prior to Title VII 2.2 Year Prior Funding Began 2.3 Student Level (Elementary or Secondary) in Prior Bilingual Program 1.2.4 Source of Prior Bilingual Program Funding 2.5 Concurrent Funding of Program(s) If Cooperating with Title VII Program 1.2.6 Student Level (Elementary or Secondary) Involved in Concurrent Program 1.2.6 Student Level (Elementary or Secondary) Involved in Concurrent Program 1.2.7 Source of Concurrent Funding 1.2.8 Total Title VII Grant (first year) 1.3.0 UNIVERSITY Involvement with Project 1.4.1 Number of Schools Involved 1.2.2 Students - total number 1.3 Students - total number 1.4.2 Students - total number 1.5.3 Ethics - Total number 1.5.4 Students - grade level, number of classes, and number of students by grouped 1.5.5 Students' Dominant and Native Language and Cultural Affiliation (chart) 1.5.2 Cultured or Ethnic Identification of Target Students 1.5.3 Ethnic Identity of English Mother Tongue Students 1.5.4 Students' Dominant Language in Different from Dominant Language 1.5.5 Students' Dominant Language and Extent of Bilingualism 1.5.6 Recruitment of Students 1.5.7 Proportion of EMT Pupile in Project Area 1.5.8 Community Characteristics 1.5.9 Socio-Economic Status of Pupile in Project Area 1.5.9 Socio-Economic Status of Pupile in Project Area 1.5.1 Projection of Migrant Students in Project 1.5 Existence of Survey 1.6.2 Existence of Students' Language Dominance (In ton in Survey) 1.6.5 Survey Included in Survey 1.6.5 Existence of Students' Language Dominance (In ton in Survey) 1.6.5 Survey Included to Survey 1.6.5 Survey Includes Determination of any Inter-Language Learning 1.6.5 Students' Pupile toward Maintenance 1.6.5 Survey Includes Determination o	7000	The Theorem Th	
0.2 Mame of Project (number and street) 0.3 Address of Project (number and street) 1.0.4 City and State of Project 1.0.5 State (checklist) 1.0 FROJECT HISTORY, FUNDING AND SCOPE 1.1 Year Project Began under Title VII 2.0 FUNDING 2.1 Funding of Bilingual Program, Prior to Title VII 2.2 Year Prior Funding Began 2.3 Student Level (Elementary or Secondary) in Prior Bilingual Program 2.4 Source of Prior Bilingual Program Funding 2.5 Concurrent Punding of Program(s) if Cooperating with Title VII Program 2.6 Student Level (Elementary or Secondary) Involved in Concurrent Program Cooperating with Title VII 2.7 Source of Concurrent Funding 1.2 Source of Concurrent Funding 1.3 Source of Concurrent Funding 1.4 Source of Concurrent Funding 1.5 Concurrent Funding 1.6 SCOPE OF FROJECT 4.1 Number of Schools Involved 4.2 Students - total number 4.3 Students - total number 4.3 Students - total number 4.3 Students - grade level, number of classes, and number of students by grouped grade levels 4.4 Non-graded classes PROCESS VARIABLES 5.0 STUDENTS (sociolinguistic) 5.1 Students' Dominant and Native Language and Cultural Affiliation (chart) 5.2 Cultural or Ethnic Identification of Target Students 5.4 Students' Bominant Language and Extent of Bilingualism 5.5 Students' Dominant Language and Extent of Bilingualism 5.6 Recruitant of Students 5.7 Proportion of EMT Funils in Project Area 5.8 Socio-Economic Status of N-RMT Participating Students 5.9 Socio-Economic Status of N-RMT Participating Students 5.9 Socio-Economic Status of N-RMT Participating Students 5.1 Englanguage Dominance by Domains and through Various Means of Community 6.5 Survey Included in Survey 6.2 Groups Included in Survey 6.2 Groups Included in Survey 6.3 Language Dominance by Domains and through Various Means of Community 6.5 Survey Includes Determination of any Inter-Language in Community 6.5 Survey Includes Determination of any Inter-Language in Community 6.6 N-RMT Parental Attitudes toward Maintenance 6.0 Comminity Maintenance 6.0 Comminity Maintenance 6.0 Commi			•
10.4 Address of Project (number and street) 0.4 City and State of Project 10.5 State (checklist) 11.0 FROJECT HISTORY, FUNDING AND SCOPE 1.1 Year Project Began under Title VII 2.2 Year Prior Funding Began 2.1 Funding of Bilingual Program, Prior to Title VII 2.2 Year Prior Funding Began 2.3 Student Level (Elementary or Secondary) in Prior Bilingual Program 1 2.4 Source of Prior Bilingual Program Funding 2.5 Concurrent Funding of Program(s) if Cooperating with Title VII Program 1 2.5 Student Level (Elementary or Secondary) Involved in Concurrent Program 1 2.6 Student Level (Elementary or Secondary) Involved in Concurrent Program 1 2.7 Source of Concurrent Funding 2.8 Total Title VII Grant (first year) 3.0 UNIVERSITY Involvement with Project 4.0 SCOPE OF PROJECT 4.1 Number of Schools Involved 4.2 Students - total number 4.3 Students - grade level, number of classes, and number of students by grouped 8 grade levels 4.4 Mon-graded classes PROCESS VARIABLES 5.0 STURENTS (sociolinguistic) 5.1 Students' Dominant and Native Language and Cultural Affiliation (chart) 5.2 Cultural or Ethnic Identification of Target Students 5.3 Students' Native Language if Different from Dominant Language 3 5.5 Students' Native Language and Extent of Bilingualism 5.6 Recruitment of Students 5.7 Proportion of EM Pupils in Project Area 5.8 Community Characteristics 5.9 Socio-Economic Status of N-BM Participating Students 5.1 Proportion of Migrant Students in Project 6.0 SCOILLINGUISTIC SURVEY 6.1 Existence of Survey 6.2 Groups Included in Survey 6.3 Language Dominance of Number and Students of Communication 6.5 Survey Includes Determination of any Inter-Language in Community 6.6 N-BMT Parental Attitudes toward Maintenance 6.10 Survey Includes Determination of any Inter-Language in Community 6.7 BMT Parental Attitudes toward Maintenance 6.10 Survey Includes Determination of Pay Inter-Language in Community 6.7 BMT Parental Attitudes toward Maintenance 6.10 Survey Includes Determination of Pay Inter-Language in Community 6.7 BMT Parental Attit			
1.0 PROJECT HISTORY, FUNDING AND SCOPE 1.1 Year Project Began under Title VII 2.0 FUNDING 2.1 Funding of Bilingual Program, Frior to Title VII 2.2 Year Prior Funding Began 2.3 Student Level (Elementary or Secondary) in Prior Bilingual Program 1.4 Source of Prior Bilingual Program Funding 2.5 Concurrent Funding of Program(s) if Cooperating with Title VII Program 2.6 Student Level (Elementary or Secondary) Involved in Concurrent Program Cooperating with Title VII 2.7 Source of Concurrent Funding 2.8 Fotal Title VII Grant (first year) 3.0 University Involvement with Project 4.0 SCOPE OF PROJECT 4.1 Number of Schools Involved 4.2 Students - grade level, number of classes, and number of students by grouped grade levels 2.4 Mon-graded classes PROCESS VARIABLES 5.0 STUDENTS (sociolinguistic) 5.1 Students' Dominant and Native Language and Cultural Affiliation (chart) 5.2 Cultural or Ethnic Identification of Target Students 5.3 Ethnic Identity of English Mother Tongue Students 5.4 Students' Native Language if Different from Dominant Language 3.5 Students' Nominant Language and Extent of Bilingualism 3.5 Students' Comminant Language and Extent of Bilingualism 3.5 Students' Comminant Language and Extent of Bilingualism 3.5 Students' Comminant Language and Extent of Bilingualism 3.6 Becruitment of Students 5.7 Proportion of EMT Pupils in Project Area 3.8 Community Characteristics 5.9 Socio-Economic Status of N-EMT Participating Students 5.0 Socio-Economic Status of EMT Participating Students 5.1 Bristence of Survey 6.2 Croups Included in Survey 6.3 Language Dominance by Domains and through Various Means of Communication 6.5 Survey Includes Determination of any Inter-Language in Community 6.5 Survey Includes Students' Language Janniance (if not in Survey) 6.7 EMT Parental Attitudes toward Maintenance 6.8 Student Attitudes toward Maintenance 6.10Survey's Impact on Program 7.10 Inquistic Background of Project Teachers			ז
1.0 PROJECT HISTORY, FUNDING AND SCOPE 1.1 Year Project Began under Title VII 2.0 FUNDING 2.1 Funding of Bilingual Program, Frior to Title VII 2.2 Year Prior Funding Began 2.3 Student Level (Elementary or Secondary) in Prior Bilingual Program 1.4 Source of Prior Bilingual Program Funding 2.5 Concurrent Funding of Program(s) if Cooperating with Title VII Program 2.6 Student Level (Elementary or Secondary) Involved in Concurrent Program Cooperating with Title VII 2.7 Source of Concurrent Funding 2.8 Fotal Title VII Grant (first year) 3.0 University Involvement with Project 4.0 SCOPE OF PROJECT 4.1 Number of Schools Involved 4.2 Students - grade level, number of classes, and number of students by grouped grade levels 2.4 Mon-graded classes PROCESS VARIABLES 5.0 STUDENTS (sociolinguistic) 5.1 Students' Dominant and Native Language and Cultural Affiliation (chart) 5.2 Cultural or Ethnic Identification of Target Students 5.3 Ethnic Identity of English Mother Tongue Students 5.4 Students' Native Language if Different from Dominant Language 3.5 Students' Nominant Language and Extent of Bilingualism 3.5 Students' Comminant Language and Extent of Bilingualism 3.5 Students' Comminant Language and Extent of Bilingualism 3.5 Students' Comminant Language and Extent of Bilingualism 3.6 Becruitment of Students 5.7 Proportion of EMT Pupils in Project Area 3.8 Community Characteristics 5.9 Socio-Economic Status of N-EMT Participating Students 5.0 Socio-Economic Status of EMT Participating Students 5.1 Bristence of Survey 6.2 Croups Included in Survey 6.3 Language Dominance by Domains and through Various Means of Communication 6.5 Survey Includes Determination of any Inter-Language in Community 6.5 Survey Includes Students' Language Janniance (if not in Survey) 6.7 EMT Parental Attitudes toward Maintenance 6.8 Student Attitudes toward Maintenance 6.10Survey's Impact on Program 7.10 Inquistic Background of Project Teachers	-	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ī
1.0 PROJECT HISTORY, FUNDING AND SCOPE 1.1 Year Project Began under Title VII 2.0 FUNDING 2.1 Funding of Bilingual Program, Prior to Title VII 2.2 Year Prior Funding Began 1.2.3 Student Level (Elementary or Secondary) in Prior Bilingual Program 2.4 Source of Prior Bilingual Program Funding 2.5 Concurrent Funding of Program(s) if Cooperating with Title VII Program 2.6 Student Level (Elementary or Secondary) Involved in Concurrent Program Cooperating with Title VII 2.7 Source of Concurrent Funding 2.8 Total Title VII Grant (first year) 3.0 UNIVESITY Involvement with Project 4.0 SCOPE OF PROJECT 4.1 Number of Schools Involved 4.2 Students - total number 4.3 Students - total number 4.3 Students - total number 4.4 Non-graded classes PROCESS VARIABLES 5.0 STUDENTS (sociolinguistic) 5.1 Students' Dominant and Native Language and Cultural Affiliation (chart) 5.2 Cultural or Ethnic Hentification of Target Students 5.4 Students' Native Language if Different from Dominant Language 5.5 Students' Dominant language and Extent of Bilingualism 5.6 Recruitment of Students 5.7 Proportion of EMT Pupils in Project Area 5.8 Community Characteristics 5.9 Socio-Economic Status of N-EMT Participating Students 5.10 Scoio-Economic Status of N-EMT Participating Students 5.11 Projortion of Migrant Students in Project 6.0 SCOILINGUISTIC SURVEY 6.1 Existence of Survey 6.2 Crowps Included in Survey 6.3 Language Dominance by Domains and through Various Means of Communication 6.4 Determination of Students' Language Dominance (if not in Survey) 6.5 Sturvey Includes Determination of any Inter-Language in Community 6.6 N-EMT Perental Attitudes toward Manuage Maintenance or Shift 6.7 EMT Perental Attitudes toward Maintenance 6.8 Student Attitudes toward Maintenance 6.9 Community Language and Second Language Learning 6.9 Community Language on Project Teachers			ī
1.1 Year Project Began under Title VII 2.0 FUNDING 2.1 Funding of Bilingual Program, Frior to Title VII 2.2 Year Prior Funding Began 1.3 Student Level (Elementary or Secondary) in Prior Bilingual Program 2.4 Source of Prior Bilingual Program Funding 2.5 Concurrent Funding of Program(s) if Cooperating with Title VII Program 2.6 Student Level (Elementary or Secondary) Involved in Concurrent Program Cooperating with Title VII 2.7 Source of Concurrent Funding 2.8 Total Title VII Grant (first year) 3.0 UNIVERSITY Involvement with Project 4.0 SCOPE OF PROMET 4.1 Number of Schools Involved 4.2 Students - total number 4.3 Students - grade level, number of classes, and number of students by grouped grade levels 5.0 STUDENTS (sociolinguistic) 5.1 Students' Dominant and Native Language and Cultural Affiliation (chart) 5.2 Cultural or Ethnic Identification of Target Students 5.4 Students' Native Language if Different from Dominant Language 3 5.5 Students' Native Language if Different from Dominant Language 3 5.6 Recruitment of Students 5.7 Proportion of EMF Pupils in Project Area 5.8 Community Characteristics 5.9 ScioEconomic Status of N-EMF Participating Students 5.10 Scot-Economic Status of FEF Participating Students 5.11 Proportion of Migrant Students in Project 6.1 Existence of Survey 6.2 Groups Included in Survey 6.3 Language Dominance by Domains and through Various Means of Communication 6.4 Determination of Students' Language Dominance (if not in Survey) 6.5 Survey Includes Determination of any Inter-Language in Community 6.6 N-EMF Perental Attitudes toward Language Maintenance or Shift 6.7 Community Attitudes toward Language Maintenance or Shift 6.8 Student Attitudes toward Maintenance 6.9 Community Hittides Invard Maintenance 6.10 Survey's Impact on Program 7.0 STAFF SERICITION 7.1 Linguistic Background of Project Teachers			_
2.1 FUNDING 2.1 FUNDING 2.1 Funding of Bilingual Program, Frior to Title VII 2.2 Tear Prior Funding Began 2.3 Student Level (Elementary or Secondary) in Prior Bilingual Program 2.4 Source of Frior Bilingual Program Funding 2.5 Concurrent Funding of Program(s) if Cooperating with Title VII Program 2.6 Student Level (Elementary or Secondary) Involved in Concurrent Program Cooperating with Title VII 2.7 Source of Concurrent Funding 2.8 Total Title VII Grant (first year) 3.0 UNIVERSITY Involvement with Project 4.0 SCOPE of FROMET 4.1 Number of Schools Involved 4.2 Students - total number 4.3 Students - grade level, number of classes, and number of students by grouped grade levels 4.4 Non-graded classes FROCESS VARIABLES 5.0 STUDENTS (sociolinguistic) 5.1 Students' Dominant and Native Lenguage and Cultural Affiliation (chart) 5.2 Cultural or Ethnic Identification of Target Students 5.4 Students' Rative Language in Different from Dominant Language 5.5 Students' Native Language find Different from Dominant Language 5.5 Students' Native Language and Extent of Bilingualism 3.6 Recruitment of Students 5.7 Proportion of EMT Pupils in Project Area 5.8 Community Characteristics 5.9 Socio-Economic Status of Native Practicipating Students 5.10 Socio-Economic Status of Native Project 6.0 SOCIOLINGUISTIC SURVEY 6.1 Existence of Survey 6.2 Ercups Included in Survey 6.3 Language Dominance by Domains and through Various Means of Communication 6.4 Determination of Students' Language Dominance (if not in Survey) 6.5 Survey Included in Survey 6.6 Natif Perental Attitudes toward Language Maintenance or Shift 6.7 EMT Perental Attitudes toward Language Maintenance or Shift 6.7 Community Attitudes toward Language Maintenance or Shift 6.9 Community Attitudes toward Maintenance 6.10 Survey's Impact on Program 7.0 STAFF SELECTION 7.1 Linguistic Background of Project Teachers	1.0		
2.1 Funding of Bilingual Program, Prior to Title VII 2.2 Year Prior Punding Began 2.3 Student Level (Elementary or Secondary) in Prior Bilingual Program 2.4 Source of Prior Bilingual Program Funding 2.5 Concurrent Funding of Program(s) if Cooperating with Title VII Program 2.6 Student Level (Elementary or Secondary) Involved in Concurrent Program Cooperating with Title VII 2.7 Source of Concurrent Funding 2.8 Total Title VII Grant (first year) 3.0 UNIVESITY Involvement with Project 4.0 SCOPE OF FROJECT 4.1 Number of Schools Involved 4.2 Students - total number 4.3 Students - total number 4.3 Students - total number 4.3 Students - total number of classes, and number of students by grouped grade levels 4.4 Non-graded classes PROCESS VARIABLES 5.0 STUDENTS (sociolinguistic) 5.1 Students' Dominant and Native Language and Cultural Affiliation (chart) 5.2 Cultural or Ethnic Identification of Target Students 5.3 Ethnic Identity of English Nother Tongue Students 5.4 Students' Native Language if Different from Dominant Language 3 5.5 Students' Nominant Language and Extent of Bilingualism 3 5.6 Recruitment of Students 5.7 Proportion of EMT Pupils in Project Area 5.8 Community Characteristics 5.9 Socio-Economic Status of N-EMT Participating Students 5.9 Socio-Economic Status of N-EMT Participating Students 5.9 Socio-Economic Status of N-EMT Participating Students 5.1 Disocio-Economic Status of N-EMT Participating Students 5.1 Survey Included in Survey 6.2 Groups Included in Survey 6.3 Language Dominance by Domains and through Various Means of Communication 6.4 Determination of Students' Language Dominance (if not in Survey) 6.5 Survey Includes Determination of any Inter-Language in Community 6.6 N-EMT Parental Attitudes toward Language Maintenance or Shift 6.7 EMT Parental Attitudes toward Maintenance 6.10 Survey's Impact on Program 7.0 STAFF SIEDETION 7.1 Integrative Dealers of Project Teachers			1
2.2 Year Prior Funding Began 2.3 Student Level (Elementary or Secondary) in Prior Bilingual Program 2.4 Source of Prior Bilingual Program Funding 2.5 Concurrent Funding of Program(s) if Cooperating with Title VII Program 2.6 Student Level (Elementary or Secondary) Involved in Concurrent Program Cooperating with Title VII 2.7 Source of Concurrent Funding 2.8 Total Title VII Crant (first year) 3.0 UNIVERSITY Involvement with Project 4.0 SCOFE OF FROJECT 4.1 Number of Schools Involved 4.2 Students - total number 4.3 Students - grade level, number of classes, and number of students by grouped grade levels 4.4 Non-graded classes PROCESS VARIABLES 5.0 STUDENTS (sociolinguistic) 5.1 Students' Dominant and Native Language and Cultural Affiliation (chart) 5.2 Cultural or Ethnic Identification of Target Students 3.5 Students' Native Language if Different from Dominant Language 5.5 Students' Nominant Language and Extent of Bilingualism 3.6 Recruitment of Students 5.7 Proportion of EMT Pupils in Project Area 5.8 Community Characteristics 5.9 Socio-Economic Status of NaEMT Participating Students 5.1 Proportion of Migrant Students in Project 6.1 Existence of Survey 6.2 Groups Included in Survey 6.3 Language Dominance by Domains and through Various Means of Communication 6.4 Determination of Students' Language Dominance (if not in Survey) 6.5 Survey Includes Determination of any Inter-Language in Community 6.6 Neby Parental Attitudes toward Language Maintenance or Shift 6.7 EMT Parental Attitudes toward Maintenance 6.9 Community Student Attitudes toward Maintenance 6.10 Survey's Impact on Program 7.0 STAFF SELECTION 7.1 Linguistic Eackground of Project Teachers	2.0		_
2.4 Source of Prior Bilingual Program Funding 2.4 Source of Prior Bilingual Program Funding 2.5 Concurrent Funding of Program(s) if Cooperating with Title VII Program 2.6 Student Level (Elementary or Secondary) Involved in Concurrent Program Cooperating with Title VII 2.7 Source of Concurrent Funding 2.8 Total Title VII Grant (first year) 3.0 UNIVERSITY Involvement with Project 4.1 SCOPE OF PROJECT 4.1 Humber of Schools Involved 4.2 Students - total number 4.3 Students - total number 4.3 Students - total number of classes, and number of students by grouped grade levels 4.4 Non-graded classes PROCESS VARIABLES 5.0 STUDENTS (sociolinguistic) 5.1 Students' Dominant and Native Language and Cultural Affiliation (chart) 5.2 Cultural or Ethnic Identification of Target Students 5.3 Ethnic Identity of English Nother Tongue Students 5.4 Students' Native Language if Different from Dominant Language 3.5.5 Students' Nominant Language and Extent of Bilingualism 3.6 Recruitment of Students 5.7 Proportion of EMT Pupils in Project Area 5.8 Community Characteristics 5.9 Socio-Economic Status of Nature Participating Students 5.1 Discotio-Economic Status of EMT Participating Students 5.1 Discotio-Economic Status of EMT Participating Students 5.1 Eristence of Survey 6.2 Groups Included in Survey 6.3 Language Dominance by Domains and through Various Means of Community 6.5 Survey Includes Determination of any Inter-Language in Community 6.5 Survey Includes Determination of any Inter-Language in Community 6.6 NaMT Parental Attitudes toward Language Maintenance or Shift 6.7 EMT Parental Attitudes toward Maintenance 6.10 Survey's Impact on Program 7.0 STAFF SELECTION 7.1 Linguistic Background of Project Teachers 5			1
2.4 Source of Prior Bilingual Program Kunding 2.5 Concurrent Funding of Program(s) if Cooperating with Title VII Program 2.6 Student Level (Elementary or Secondary) Involved in Concurrent Program Cooperating with Title VII 2.7 Source of Concurrent Funding 2.8 Total Title VII Grant (first year) 3.0 UNIVERSITI Involvement with Project 4.0 SCOGE OF PROTECT 4.1 Number of Schools Involved 4.2 Students - total number 4.3 Students - grade level, number of classes, and number of students by grouped grade levels 4.4 Non-graded classes FROCESS VARIABLES 5.0 STUDENTS (sociolinguistic) 5.1 Students' Dominant and Native Language and Cultural Affiliation (chart) 5.2 Cultural or Ethnic Identification of Target Students 5.3 Ethnic Identity of English Mother Tongue Students 5.4 Students' Native Language if Different from Dominant Language 3.5 Students' Native Language and Extent of Bilingualism 3.6 Recruitment of Students 5.7 Proportion of EMT Pupils in Project Area 5.8 Community Characteristics 5.9 Socio-Economic Status of N-EMT Participating Students 5.1 Proportion of Migrant Students in Project 6.0 SOCIOLINGUISTIC SUNVEY 6.1 Existence of Survey 6.2 Groups Included in Survey 6.3 Language Dominance by Domains and through Various Means of Communication 6.4 Determination of Students' Language Maintenance or Shift 6.6 FEMT Parental Attitudes toward Language Maintenance or Shift 6.7 EMT Parental Attitudes toward Second Language Learning 6.8 Student Attitudes toward Second Language Learning 6.9 Comminity Attitudes toward Second Language Learning 6.9 Comminity Attitudes toward Maintenance 6.10 Survey's Impact on Program 7.0 STAFF SELECTION 7.1 Linguistic Background of Project Teachers			Ţ
2.5 Concurrent Funding of Program(s) if Cooperating with Title VII Program 2.6 Student Level (Elementary or Secondary) Involved in Concurrent Program Cooperating with Title VII 2.7 Source of Concurrent Funding 2.8 Total Title VII Grant (first year) 3.0 UNIVERSITY Involvement with Project 1 3.0 UNIVERSITY Involvement with Project 4.1 Number of Schools Involved 4.2 Students - total number 4.3 Students - grade level, number of classes, and number of students by grouped grade levels 4.4 Non-graded classes PROCESS VARIABLES 5.0 STUDENTS (sociolinguistic) 5.1 Students' Dominant and Native Language and Cultural Affiliation (chart) 5.2 Cultural or Ethnic Identification of Target Students 5.3 Ethnic Identity of English Mother Tongue Students 5.4 Students' Native Language if Different from Dominant Language 3.5 Students' Dominant Language and Extent of Bilingualism 3.5 Recruitment of Students 5.7 Proportion of EMT Pupils in Project Area 5.8 Community Characteristics 5.9 Socio-Economic Status of NEMT Participating Students 5.11Proportion of Migrant Students in Project 6.0 SOCIOLINGUISTIC SURVEY 6.1 Existence of Survey 6.2 Groups Included in Survey 6.3 Language Dominance by Domains and through Various Means of Communication 6.4 Determination of Students' Language Dominance (if not in Survey) 6.5 Survey Includes Determination of any Inter-Language in Community 6.6 NEMT Perental Attitudes toward Second Language Learning 6.8 Student Attitudes toward Native and Second Language Learning 6.9 Community Attitudes toward Native and Second Language Learning 6.9 Community Attitudes toward Native and Second Language Learning 6.9 Community Attitudes toward Native and Second Language Learning 6.9 Community Attitudes toward Native and Second Language Learning 6.9 Community Attitudes toward Native and Second Language Learning 6.9 Community Attitudes toward Native and Second Language Learning 6.9 Community Attitudes Toward Native and Second Language Learning 6.9 Community Students 7.0 STAFF SELECTION 7.1 Linguistic Background of Project T		2. Source of Prior Bilinguel Progrem Dunding	
2.6 Student Level (Elementary or Secondary) Involved in Concurrent Program Cooperating with Title VII 2.7 Source of Concurrent Funding 2.8 Total Title VII Grant (first year) 3.0 INVERSITY Involvement with Project 4.1 Number of Schools Involved 4.2 Students - total number 4.1 Number of Schools Involved 4.2 Students - total number 4.3 Students - grade level, number of classes, and number of students by grouped grade levels 5.0 STUDENTS (sociolinguistic) 5.1 Students' Dominant and Native Language and Cultural Affiliation (chart) 5.2 Cultural or Ethnic Identification of Target Students 5.3 Ethnic Identity of English Mother Tongue Students 5.4 Students' Native Language in Different from Dominant Language 3.5.5 Students' Dominant Language and Extent of Bilingualism 3.6 Recruitment of Students 4.7 Proportion of EMT Pupils in Project Area 5.8 Community Characteristics 5.9 Socio-Economic Status of EMT Participating Students 5.1 Proportion of Migrant Students in Project 6.0 SOCIOLINGUISTIC SURVEY 6.1 Existence of Survey 6.2 Groups Included in Survey 6.3 Language Dominance by Domains and through Various Means of Communication 6.4 Determination of Students' Language Dominance (if not in Survey) 6.5 Survey Includes Determination of any Inter-Language in Community 6.6 N=EMT Parental Attitudes toward Maintenance 6.7 EMT Parental Attitudes toward Language Maintenance or Shift 6.9 Community Attitudes toward Maintenance 6.9 Community Attitudes toward Maintenance 6.10 Survey's Impact on Program 7.0 STAFF SELECTION 7.1 Linguistic Background of Project Teachers			
Cooperating with Title VII 2.7 Source of Concurrent Funding 2.8 Total Title VII Grant (first year) 3.0 UNIVERSITY Involvement with Project 4.1 Number of Schools Involved 4.2 Students - total number 4.3 Students - grade level, number of classes, and number of students by grouped grade levels 4.4 Non-graded classes PROCESS VARIABLES 5.0 STUDENTS (sociolinguistic) 5.1 Students' Dominant and Native Language and Cultural Affiliation (chart) 5.2 Cultural or Ethnic Identification of Target Students 3.5 Ethnic Identity of English Nother Tongue Students 3.5 Students' Native Language if Different from Dominant Language 3.5 Students' Dominant Language and Extent of Bilingualism 3.5 Recruitment of Students 5.7 Proportion of EMT Pupils in Project Area 5.8 Community Characteristics 5.9 Socio-Economic Status of N-EMT Participating Students 5.1 Proportion of Migrant Students in Project 6.0 SOCIOLINGUISTIC SUNVEY 6.1 Existence of Survey 6.2 Groups Included in Survey 6.3 Language Dominance by Domains and through Various Means of Communication 6.4 Determination of Students' Language Dominance (if not in Survey) 6.5 Entry Includes Determination of any Inter-Language in Community 6.6 N-EMT Parental Attitudes toward Language Maintenance or Shift 6.7 EMT Farental Attitudes toward Second Language Learning 6.9 Community Attitudes toward Native and Second Language Learning 6.9 Community Attitudes toward Native and Second Language Learning 6.9 Community Impact on Program 7.0 STAFF SELECTION 7.1 Linguistic Background of Project Teachers			_
2.7 Source of Concurrent Funding 2.8 Total Title VII Grant (first year) 3.0 WMYERSITY Involvement with Project 4.1 Number of Schools Involved 4.2 Students - total number 4.3 Students - total number of classes, and number of students by grouped grade levels 4.4 Non-graded classes PROCESS VARIABLES 5.0 STUDENTS (sociolinguistic) 5.1 Students' Dominant and Native Language and Cultural Affiliation (chart) 5.2 Cultural or Ethnic Identification of Target Students 5.3 Ethnic Identity of English Mother Tongue Students 5.4 Students' Native Language if Different from Dominant Language 3.5 Students' Dominant Language and Extent of Bilingualism 3.5 Generuitment of Students 5.7 Proportion of EMT Pupils in Project Area 5.8 Community Characteristics 5.9 Socio-Economic Status of N-EMT Participating Students 5.1DSocio-Economic Status of EMT Participating Students 5.1DSocio-Economic Status of EMT Participating Students 5.1DSocio-Economic Status of EMT Participating Students 6.0 SOCIOLINGUISTIC SURVEY 6.1 Existence of Survey 6.2 Groups Included in Survey 6.3 Language Dominance by Domains and through Various Means of Communication 6.4 Determination of Students' Language Dominance (if not in Survey) 6.5 Survey Includes Determination of any Inter-Language in Community 6.6 N-EMT Parental Attitudes toward Language Maintenance or Shift 6.7 EMT Parental Attitudes toward Second Language Learning 6.8 Student Attitudes toward Native and Second Language Learning 6.9 Community Attitudes toward Maintenance 6.10 Survey's Impact on Program 7.0 STAFF SELECTION 7.1 Linguistic Background of Project Teachers			1
2.8 Total Title VII Grant (first year) 3.0 UNIVERSITY Involvement with Project 4.0 SCOPE OF PROJECT 4.1 Number of Schools Involved 4.2 Students - total number 4.3 Students - grade level, number of classes, and number of students by grouped grade levels 4.4 Non-graded classes PROCESS VARIABLES 5.0 STUDENTS (sociolinguistic) 5.1 Students' Dominant and Native Language and Cultural Affiliation (chart) 5.2 Cultural or Ethnic Identification of Target Students 5.3 Ethnic Identity of English Mother Tongue Students 5.4 Students' Native Language if Different from Dominant Language 3.5.5 Students' Dominant Language and Extent of Bilingualism 5.7 Proportion of EMT Pupils in Project Area 5.8 Community Characteristics 5.9 Socio-Economic Status of N-EMT Participating Students 5.10 Socio-Economic Status of EMT Participating Students 5.11 Proportion of Migrant Students in Project 6.0 SOCIOLINGUISTIC SURVEY 6.1 Existence of Survey 6.2 Groups Included in Survey 6.3 Language Dominance by Domains and through Various Means of Communication 6.4 Determination of Students' Language Dominance (if not in Survey) 6.5 Survey Includes Determination of any Inter-Language in Community 6.6 N-EMT Parental Attitudes toward Language Maintenance or Shift 6.7 EMT Parental Attitudes toward Second Language Learning 6.8 Student Attitudes toward Native and Second Language Learning 6.9 Community Attitudes toward Maintenance 6.10 Survey's Impact on Program 7.0 STAFF SELECTION 7.1 Linguistic Background of Project Teachers			
4.0 SCOPE OF PROJECT 4.1 Number of Schools Involved 4.2 Students - total number 2. 4.3 Students - grade level, number of classes, and number of students by grouped grade levels 3.4.4 Non-graded classes PROCESS VARIABLES 5.0 STUDENTS (sociolinguistic) 5.1 Students' Dominant and Native Language and Cultural Affiliation (chart) 5.2 Cultural or Ethnic Identification of Target Students 5.3 Ethnic Identity of English Mother Tongue Students 5.4 Students' Native Language if Different from Dominant Language 3.5.5 Students' Native Language and Extent of Bilingualism 3.5.6 Recruitment of Students 5.7 Proportion of EMT Pupils in Project Area 5.8 Community Characteristics 5.9 Socio-Economic Status of N-EMT Participating Students 5.10 Socio-Economic Status of EMT Participating Students 5.11 Proportion of Migrant Students in Project 6.0 SOCIOLINGUESTIC SURVEY 6.1 Existence of Survey 6.2 Groups Included in Survey 6.3 Language Dominance by Domains and through Various Means of Communication 6.4 Determination of Students' Language Dominance (if not in Survey) 6.5 Survey Includes Determination of any Inter-Language in Community 6.6 N-EMT Parental Attitudes toward Language Maintenance or Shift 6.7 EMT Parental Attitudes toward Second Language Learning 6.8 Student Attitudes toward Maintenance 6.10 Survey's Impact on Program 7.0 STAFF SELECTION 7.1 Linguistic Background of Project Teachers			
4.1 Number of Schools Involved 4.2 Students - total number 4.3 Students - grade level, number of classes, and number of students by grouped grade levels 4.4 Non-graded classes PROCESS VARIABLES 5.0 STUDENTS (sociolinguistic) 5.1 Students' Dominant and Native Language and Cultural Affiliation (chart) 5.2 Cultural or Ethnic Identification of Target Students 5.3 Ethnic Identity of English Mother Tongue Students 5.4 Students' Native Language if Different from Dominant Language 3 5.5 Students' Dominant Language and Extent of Bilingualism 3 5.6 Recruitment of Students 5.7 Proportion of EMT Pupils in Project Area 5.8 Community Characteristics 5.9 Socio-Economic Status of N-EMT Participating Students 5.10 Socio-Economic Status of N-EMT Participating Students 5.11 Proportion of Migrant Students in Project 6.0 SOCIOLINGUISTIC SURVEY 6.1 Existence of Survey 6.2 Groups Included in Survey 6.3 Language Dominance by Domains and through Various Means of Communication 6.4 Determination of Students' Language Dominance (if not in Survey) 6.5 Survey Includes Determination of any Inter-Language in Community 5.6 N-EMT Parental Attitudes toward Language Maintenance or Shift 6.7 EMT Parental Attitudes toward Second Language Learning 6.8 Student Attitudes toward Naintenance 6.10 Survey's Impact on Program 7.0 STAFF SELECTION 7.1 Linguistic Background of Project Teachers			1
4.2 Students - total number 4.3 Students - grade level, number of classes, and number of students by grouped grade levels 2.4.4 Non-graded classes PROCESS VARIABLES 5.0 STUDENTS (sociolinguistic) 5.1 Students' Dominant and Native Language and Cultural Affiliation (chart) 5.2 Cultural or Ethnic Identification of Target Students 5.3 Ethnic Identity of English Mother Tongue Students 5.4 Students' Native Language if Different from Dominant Language 3.5.5 Students' Nominant Language and Extent of Bilingualism 3.6.6 Recruitment of Students 5.7 Proportion of EMT Pupils in Project Area 5.8 Community Characteristics 4.5.10 Socio-Economic Status of N-EMT Participating Students 5.11 Proportion of Migrant Students in Project 6.0 SOCIOLINGUISTIC SURVEY 6.1 Existence of Survey 6.2 Groups Included in Survey 6.3 Language Dominance by Domains and through Various Means of Communication 6.4 Determination of Students' Language Dominance (if not in Survey) 6.5 Survey Includes Determination of any Inter-Language in Community 6.6 N-EMT Parental Attitudes toward Language Maintenance or Shift 6.7 EMT Parental Attitudes toward Second Language Learning 6.8 Student Attitudes toward Maintenance 6.10 Survey's Impact on Program 7.0 STAFF SELECTION 7.1 Linguistic Background of Project Teachers	4.0	g	_
4.3 Students - grade level, number of classes, and number of students by grouped grade levels 4.4 Non-graded classes FROCESS VARIABLES 5.0 STUDENTS (sociolinguistic) 5.1 Students' Dominant and Native Language and Cultural Affiliation (chart) 5.2 Cultural or Ethnic Identification of Target Students 5.3 Ethnic Identity of English Mother Tongue Students 5.4 Students' Native Language if Different from Dominant Language 3.5.5 Students' Dominant Language and Extent of Bilingualism 3.5.6 Recruitment of Students 5.7 Proportion of EMT Pupils in Project Area 5.8 Community Characteristics 4.5.9 Socio-Economic Status of N-EMT Participating Students 5.10 Socio-Economic Status of EMT Participating Students 5.10 Socio-Economic Status of EMT Participating Students 5.10 Proportion of Migrant Students in Project 6.0 SOCIOLINGUISTIC SURVEY 6.1 Existence of Survey 6.2 Groups Included in Survey 6.3 Language Dominance by Domains and through Various Means of Communication 6.4 Determination of Students' Language Dominance (if not in Survey) 6.5 Survey Includes Determination of any Inter-Language in Community 6.6 N-EMT Parental Attitudes toward Language Maintenance or Shift 6.7 EMT Parental Attitudes toward Second Language Learning 6.8 Student Attitudes toward Native and Second Language Learning 6.9 Community Attitudes toward Maintenance 6.10 Survey's Impact on Program 7.0 STAFF SELECTION 7.1 Linguistic Background of Project Teachers			2
grade levels 4.4 Non-graded classes PROCESS VARIABLES 5.0 STUDENTS (sociolinguistic) 5.1 Students' Dominant and Native Language and Cultural Affiliation (chart) 5.2 Cultural or Ethnic Identification of Target Students 5.3 Ethnic Identity of English Mother Tongue Students 5.4 Students' Native Language if Different from Dominant Language 5.5 Students' Dominant Language and Extent of Bilingualism 3.5.6 Recruitment of Students 5.7 Proportion of EMT Pupils in Project Area 5.8 Community Characteristics 5.9 Socio-Economic Status of N-EMT Participating Students 5.10Socio-Economic Status of EMT Participating Students 5.11Proportion of Migrant Students in Project 6.0 SOCIOLINGUISTIC SURVEY 6.1 Existence of Survey 6.2 Groups Included in Survey 6.3 Language Dominance by Domains and through Various Means of Communication 6.4 Determination of Students' Language Dominance (if not in Survey) 6.5 Survey Includes Determination of any Inter-Language in Community 6.6 N-EMT Parental Attitudes toward Language Maintenance or Shift 6.7 EMT Parental Attitudes toward Second Language Learning 6.8 Student Attitudes toward Native and Second Language Learning 6.9 Community Attitudes toward Maintenance 6.10Survey's Impact on Program 7.0 STAFF SELECTION 7.1 Linguistic Background of Project Teachers			2
PROCESS VARIABLES 5.0 STUDENTS (sociolinguistic) 5.1 Students' Dominant and Native Language and Cultural Affiliation (chart) 5.2 Cultural or Ethnic Identification of Target Students 5.3 Ethnic Identity of English Mother Tongue Students 5.4 Students' Native Language if Different from Dominant Language 5.5 Students' Dominant Language and Extent of Bilingualism 3.5.6 Recruitment of Students 5.7 Proportion of EMT Pupils in Project Area 5.8 Community Characteristics 5.9 Socio-Economic Status of N-EMT Participating Students 5.10 Socio-Economic Status of EMT Participating Students 5.11Proportion of Migrant Students in Project 6.0 SOCIOLINGUISTIC SURVEY 6.1 Existence of Survey 6.2 Groups Included in Survey 6.3 Language Dominance by Domains and through Various Means of Communication 6.4 Determination of Students' Language Dominance (if not in Survey) 6.5 Survey Includes Determination of any Inter-Language in Community 6.6 N-EMT Parental Attitudes toward Language Maintenance or Shift 6.7 EMT Parental Attitudes toward Second Language Learning 6.8 Student Attitudes toward Native and Second Language Learning 6.9 Community Attitudes toward Maintenance 6.10 Survey's Impact on Program 7.0 STAFF SELECTION 7.1 Linguistic Background of Project Teachers	•		2
PROCESS VARIABLES 5.0 STUDENTS (sociolinguistic) 5.1 Students' Dominant and Native Language and Cultural Affiliation (chart) 5.2 Cultural or Ethnic Identification of Target Students 5.3 Ethnic Identity of English Mother Tongue Students 5.4 Students' Native Language if Different from Dominant Language 5.5 Students' Dominant Language and Extent of Bilingualism 5.6 Recruitment of Students 5.7 Proportion of EMT Pupils in Project Area 5.8 Community Characteristics 5.9 Socio-Economic Status of N-EMT Participating Students 5.10 Socio-Economic Status of EMT Participating Students 5.11 Proportion of Migrant Students in Project 6.0 SOCIOLINGUISTIC SURVEY 6.1 Existence of Survey 6.2 Groups Included in Survey 6.3 Language Dominance by Domains and through Various Means of Communication 6.4 Determination of Students' Language Dominance (if not in Survey) 6.5 Survey Includes Determination of any Inter-Language in Community 5.6 N-EMT Parental Attitudes toward Language Maintenance or Shift 6.7 EMT Parental Attitudes toward Second Language Learning 6.8 Student Attitudes toward Native and Second Language Learning 6.9 Comminity Attitudes toward Maintenance 6.10 Survey's Impact on Program 7.0 STAFF SELECTION 7.1 Linguistic Background of Project Teachers			
5.0 STUDENTS (sociolinguistic) 5.1 Students' Dominant and Native Language and Cultural Affiliation (chart) 5.2 Cultural or Ethnic Identification of Target Students 5.3 Ethnic Identity of English Mother Tongue Students 5.4 Students' Native Language if Different from Dominant Language 3.5 Students' Dominant Language and Extent of Bilingualism 3.5 Recruitment of Students 5.7 Proportion of EMT Pupils in Project Area 5.8 Community Characteristics 5.9 Socio-Economic Status of N-EMT Participating Students 5.10 Socio-Economic Status of EMT Participating Students 5.11 Proportion of Migrant Students in Project 6.0 SOCIOLINGUISTIC SURVEY 6.1 Existence of Survey 6.2 Groups Included in Survey 6.3 Language Dominance by Domains and through Various Means of Communication 6.4 Determination of Students' Language Dominance (if not in Survey) 6.5 Survey Includes Determination of any Inter-Language in Community 6.6 N-EMT Parental Attitudes toward Language Maintenance or Shift 6.7 EMT Parental Attitudes toward Second Language Learning 6.8 Student Attitudes toward Second Language Learning 6.9 Community Attitudes toward Maintenance 6.10 Survey's Impact on Program 7.0 STAFF SELECTION 7.1 Linguistic Background of Project Teachers	TODAG		~
5.1 Students' Dominant and Native Lenguage and Cultural Affiliation (chart) 5.2 Cultural or Ethnic Identification of Target Students 5.3 Ethnic Identity of English Mother Tongue Students 5.4 Students' Native Language if Different from Dominant Lenguage 5.5 Students' Dominant Language and Extent of Bilingualism 5.6 Recruitment of Students 5.7 Proportion of EMT Pupils in Project Area 5.8 Community Characteristics 5.9 Socio-Economic Status of N-EMT Participating Students 5.10 Socio-Economic Status of EMT Participating Students 5.11 Proportion of Migrant Students in Project 6.0 SOCIOLINGUISTIC SURVEY 6.1 Existence of Survey 6.2 Groups Included in Survey 6.3 Language Dominance by Domains and through Various Means of Communication 6.4 Determination of Students' Language Dominance (if not in Survey) 6.5 Survey Includes Determination of any Inter-Language in Community 6.6 N-EMT Parental Attitudes toward Language Maintenance or Shift 6.7 EMT Parental Attitudes toward Second Language Learning 6.8 Student Attitudes toward Native and Second Language Learning 6.9 Community Attitudes toward Maintenance 6.10 Survey's Impact on Program 7.0 STAFF SELECTION 7.1 Linguistic Background of Project Teachers			
5.2 Cultural or Ethnic Identification of Target Students 5.3 Ethnic Identity of English Mother Tongue Students 5.4 Students' Native Language if Different from Dominant Language 3.5 Students' Dominant Language and Extent of Bilingualism 3.6 Recruitment of Students 4.7 Proportion of EMT Pupils in Project Area 5.8 Community Characteristics 5.9 Socio-Economic Status of N-EMT Participating Students 5.10 Socio-Economic Status of EMT Participating Students 5.11 Proportion of Migrant Students in Project 6.0 SOCIOLINGUISTIC SURVEY 6.1 Existence of Survey 6.2 Groups Included in Survey 6.3 Language Dominance by Domains and through Various Means of Communication 6.4 Determination of Students' Language Dominance (if not in Survey) 6.5 Survey Includes Determination of any Inter-Language in Community 6.6 N-EMT Parental Attitudes toward Language Maintenance or Shift 6.7 EMT Parental Attitudes toward Second Language Learning 6.8 Student Attitudes toward Maintenance 6.10 Survey's Impact on Program 7.0 STAFF SELECTION 7.1 Linguistic Background of Project Teachers	5.0		2
5.7 Proportion of EMT Pupils in Project Area 5.8 Community Characteristics 5.9 Socio-Economic Status of N-EMT Participating Students 5.10 Socio-Economic Status of EMT Participating Students 5.11 Proportion of Migrant Students in Project 6.0 SOCIOLINGUISTIC SURVEY 6.1 Existence of Survey 6.2 Groups Included in Survey 6.3 Language Dominance by Domains and through Various Means of Communication 6.4 Determination of Students' Language Dominance (if not in Survey) 5.5 Survey Includes Determination of any Inter-Language in Community 6.6 N-EMT Parental Attitudes toward Language Maintenance or Shift 6.7 EMT Parental Attitudes toward Second Language Learning 6.8 Student Attitudes toward Native and Second Language Learning 6.9 Community Attitudes toward Maintenance 6.10 Survey's Impact on Program 7.0 STAFF SELECTION 7.1 Linguistic Background of Project Teachers		5.2 Cultural or Ethnic Identification of Target Students	2
5.7 Proportion of EMT Pupils in Project Area 5.8 Community Characteristics 5.9 Socio-Economic Status of N-EMT Participating Students 5.10 Socio-Economic Status of EMT Participating Students 5.11 Proportion of Migrant Students in Project 6.0 SOCIOLINGUISTIC SURVEY 6.1 Existence of Survey 6.2 Groups Included in Survey 6.3 Language Dominance by Domains and through Various Means of Communication 6.4 Determination of Students' Language Dominance (if not in Survey) 5.5 Survey Includes Determination of any Inter-Language in Community 6.6 N-EMT Parental Attitudes toward Language Maintenance or Shift 6.7 EMT Parental Attitudes toward Second Language Learning 6.8 Student Attitudes toward Native and Second Language Learning 6.9 Community Attitudes toward Maintenance 6.10 Survey's Impact on Program 7.0 STAFF SELECTION 7.1 Linguistic Background of Project Teachers			3
5.7 Proportion of EMT Pupils in Project Area 5.8 Community Characteristics 5.9 Socio-Economic Status of N-EMT Participating Students 5.10 Socio-Economic Status of EMT Participating Students 5.11 Proportion of Migrant Students in Project 6.0 SOCIOLINGUISTIC SURVEY 6.1 Existence of Survey 6.2 Groups Included in Survey 6.3 Language Dominance by Domains and through Various Means of Communication 6.4 Determination of Students' Language Dominance (if not in Survey) 5.5 Survey Includes Determination of any Inter-Language in Community 6.6 N-EMT Parental Attitudes toward Language Maintenance or Shift 6.7 EMT Parental Attitudes toward Second Language Learning 6.8 Student Attitudes toward Native and Second Language Learning 6.9 Community Attitudes toward Maintenance 6.10 Survey's Impact on Program 7.0 STAFF SELECTION 7.1 Linguistic Background of Project Teachers			3
5.7 Proportion of EMT Pupils in Project Area 5.8 Community Characteristics 5.9 Socio-Economic Status of N-EMT Participating Students 5.10 Socio-Economic Status of EMT Participating Students 5.11 Proportion of Migrant Students in Project 6.0 SOCIOLINGUISTIC SURVEY 6.1 Existence of Survey 6.2 Groups Included in Survey 6.3 Language Dominance by Domains and through Various Means of Communication 6.4 Determination of Students' Language Dominance (if not in Survey) 5.5 Survey Includes Determination of any Inter-Language in Community 6.6 N-EMT Parental Attitudes toward Language Maintenance or Shift 6.7 EMT Parental Attitudes toward Second Language Learning 6.8 Student Attitudes toward Native and Second Language Learning 6.9 Community Attitudes toward Maintenance 6.10 Survey's Impact on Program 7.0 STAFF SELECTION 7.1 Linguistic Background of Project Teachers			3
5.8 Community Characteristics 5.9 Socio-Economic Status of N-EMT Participating Students 5.10 Socio-Economic Status of EMT Participating Students 5.11 Proportion of Migrant Students in Project 4.6.0 SOCIOLINGUISTIC SURVEY 6.1 Existence of Survey 6.2 Groups Included in Survey 6.3 Language Dominance by Domains and through Various Means of Communication 6.4 Determination of Students' Language Dominance (if not in Survey) 6.5 Survey Includes Determination of any Inter-Language in Community 6.6 N-EMT Parental Attitudes toward Language Maintenance or Shift 6.7 EMT Parental Attitudes toward Second Language Learning 6.8 Student Attitudes toward Native and Second Language Learning 6.9 Community Attitudes toward Maintenance 6.10 Survey's Impact on Program 7.0 STAFF SELECTION 7.1 Linguistic Background of Project Teachers 5			4
6.0 SOCIOLINGUISTIC SURVEY 6.1 Existence of Survey 6.2 Groups Included in Survey 6.3 Language Dominance by Domains and through Various Means of Communication 6.4 Determination of Students' Language Dominance (if not in Survey) 6.5 Survey Includes Determination of any Inter-Language in Community 5.6.6 N-EMT Parental Attitudes toward Language Maintenance or Shift 6.7 EMT Parental Attitudes toward Second Language Learning 6.8 Student Attitudes toward Native and Second Language Learning 6.9 Community Attitudes toward Maintenance 6.10 Survey's Impact on Program 7.0 STAFF SELECTION 7.1 Linguistic Background of Project Teachers 5			4
6.0 SOCIOLINGUISTIC SURVEY 6.1 Existence of Survey 6.2 Groups Included in Survey 6.3 Language Dominance by Domains and through Various Means of Communication 6.4 Determination of Students' Language Dominance (if not in Survey) 6.5 Survey Includes Determination of any Inter-Language in Community 5.6.6 N-EMT Parental Attitudes toward Language Maintenance or Shift 6.7 EMT Parental Attitudes toward Second Language Learning 6.8 Student Attitudes toward Native and Second Language Learning 6.9 Community Attitudes toward Maintenance 6.10 Survey's Impact on Program 7.0 STAFF SELECTION 7.1 Linguistic Background of Project Teachers 5			4
6.0 SOCIOLINGUISTIC SURVEY 6.1 Existence of Survey 6.2 Groups Included in Survey 6.3 Language Dominance by Domains and through Various Means of Communication 6.4 Determination of Students' Language Dominance (if not in Survey) 6.5 Survey Includes Determination of any Inter-Language in Community 5.6.6 N-EMT Parental Attitudes toward Language Maintenance or Shift 6.7 EMT Parental Attitudes toward Second Language Learning 6.8 Student Attitudes toward Native and Second Language Learning 6.9 Community Attitudes toward Maintenance 6.10 Survey's Impact on Program 7.0 STAFF SELECTION 7.1 Linguistic Background of Project Teachers 5			4
6.0 SOCIOLINGUISTIC SURVEY 6.1 Existence of Survey 6.2 Groups Included in Survey 6.3 Language Dominance by Domains and through Various Means of Communication 6.4 Determination of Students' Language Dominance (if not in Survey) 6.5 Survey Includes Determination of any Inter-Language in Community 5.6.6 N-EMT Parental Attitudes toward Language Maintenance or Shift 6.7 EMT Parental Attitudes toward Second Language Learning 6.8 Student Attitudes toward Native and Second Language Learning 6.9 Community Attitudes toward Maintenance 6.10 Survey's Impact on Program 7.0 STAFF SELECTION 7.1 Linguistic Background of Project Teachers 5			4
6.1 Existence of Survey 6.2 Groups Included in Survey 4.6.3 Language Dominance by Domains and through Various Means of Communication 6.4 Determination of Students' Language Dominance (if not in Survey) 6.5 Survey Includes Determination of any Inter-Language in Community 5.6.6 N-EMT Parental Attitudes toward Language Maintenance or Shift 6.7 EMT Parental Attitudes toward Second Language Learning 6.8 Student Attitudes toward Native and Second Language Learning 6.9 Community Attitudes toward Maintenance 6.10 Survey's Impact on Program 7.0 STAFF SELECTION 7.1 Linguistic Background of Project Teachers 5	6.0		4
6.2 Groups Included in Survey 6.3 Language Dominance by Domains and through Various Means of Communication 4.4 Determination of Students' Language Dominance (if not in Survey) 5.5 Survey Includes Determination of any Inter-Language in Community 5.6.6 N-EMT Parental Attitudes toward Language Maintenance or Shift 5.6.7 EMT Parental Attitudes toward Second Language Learning 6.8 Student Attitudes toward Native and Second Language Learning 5.6.9 Community Attitudes toward Maintenance 6.10 Survey's Impact on Program 5.7.0 STAFF SELECTION 7.1 Linguistic Background of Project Teachers 5.5	0.0		
7.0 STAFF SELECTION 7.1 Linguistic Background of Project Teachers 5			Ž
7.0 STAFF SELECTION 7.1 Linguistic Background of Project Teachers 5			Z
7.0 STAFF SELECTION 7.1 Linguistic Background of Project Teachers 5			5
7.0 STAFF SELECTION 7.1 Linguistic Background of Project Teachers 5			5
7.0 STAFF SELECTION 7.1 Linguistic Background of Project Teachers 5			5
7.0 STAFF SELECTION 7.1 Linguistic Background of Project Teachers 5			5
7.0 STAFF SELECTION 7.1 Linguistic Background of Project Teachers 5			5
7.0 STAFF SELECTION 7.1 Linguistic Background of Project Teachers 5			5
7.1 Linguistic Background of Project Teachers 5	~ ^		5
	7.0		E
			6

0.3	Address of Project (number and screet)	ī
0.4	Of Charm progres of tradeca	ī
0.5	State (checklist)	_
	PROTECT LITERARY FINDING AND SCOPE	
1.0	PROJECT HISTORY, FUNDING AND SCOPE 1.1 Year Project Began under Title VII	1
2.0	FUNDING	
2.0	2.1 Funding of Bilingual Program, Prior to Title VII	1
	2 2 Year Prior Funding Began	1
	2.3 Student Level (Elementary or Secondary) in Prior Bilingual Program	1
	2.4 Source of Prior Bilingual Program Funding	1
	2.5 Concurrent Funding of Program(s) if Cooperating with Title VII Program	1
	2.6 Student Level (Elementary or Secondary) Involved in Concurrent Program	_
	Cooperating with Title VII	1
		1
	2.8 Total Title VII Grant (first year)	1
3.0	UNIVERSITY Involvement with Project	_
4.0	SCOPE OF PROJECT	2
	4.1 Number of Schools Involved	2
	4.2 Students - total number 4.3 Students - grade level, number of classes, and number of students by grouped	-
•	grade levels	2
	4.4 Non-graded classes	2
	•	
	DESS VARIABLES	
5.0	STUDENTS (sociolinguistic) 5.1 Students' Dominant and Native Language and Cultural Affiliation (chart)	2
	5.1 Students. Dominant and Native Language and Cultural Allifetication of Target Students	3
	5.3 Ethnic Identity of English Mother Tongue Students	3
	5.4 Students! Native Language if Different from Dominant Language	23333
	5 5 Students: Dominant Language and Extent of Bilingualism	3
	5.6 Recruitment of Students	.4
	5.7 Proportion of EMT Pupils in Project Area	44444
	5.8 Community Characteristics	4
	5.9 Socio-Economic Status of N-EMT Participating Students	4
	5.10 Socio-Economic Status of EMT Participating Students	4
	5.11 Proportion of Migrant Students in Project	4
6.0	SOCIOLINGUISTIC SURVEY	, '
	6.1 Existence of Survey	4
	6.2 Groups Included in Survey	4
	6.3 Language Dominance by Domains and through Various Means of Communication	455555555
	6.4 Determination of Students' Language Dominance (if not in Survey)	5
	6.5 Survey Includes Determination of any Inter-Language in Community 6.6 N-EMT Parental Attitudes toward Language Maintenance or Shift	5
	6.7 EMT Parental Attitudes toward Second Language Learning	5
	6.8 Student Attitudes toward Native and Second Language Learning	5
_	6.9 Comminity Attitudes toward Maintenance	5
	6.10 Survey's Impact on Program	5
7.0	STAFF SELECTION	
,,,	7.1 Linguistic Background of Project Teachers	56666
	7.2 Linguistic Background of Project Aides or Paraprofessionals	6
	7.3 Dominant and Native Languages Used by Bilingual Teachers	6
	7.4 Dominant and Native Languages Used by Aides	2
	7.5 Cultural Affiliation of Teachers, Aides, Project Director and Evaluators	7
	7.6 Selection of N-EMT Teachers from Local Community	
	7.7 Proportion of Teachers and Aides of Same Cultural Background as N-EMT Students	7
•	7.8 Teacher Qualifications (Training Prior to Project)	•
8.0	STAFF DEVELOPMENT 8.1 Areas of Training for Teachers and for Paraprofessionals	7
	8.2 Stated Goals of Teacher Training	7
	9 2 Nothede of Teacher Training	7 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8
	8.4 Provision of Time for Joint Lesson Planning (Teachers and Paraprofessionals)	8
	8.5 Provision for Paraprofessionals to Receive Credit toward Certification	8
	8.6 Role of Paraprofessionals	8
	8.7 Personnel Training Project Teachers and Paraprofessionals	8
	8.8 Extent of Bilingualism and Biculturalism of Personnel Training Staff	
	8.9 Period When Training Is Provided	8

	PA	GE
-	8.10 Extent of Training	8
, .	8.11 Proportion of Teachers Attending Training	8
9.0	TEACHERS' ATTITUDES	8
	9.1 Assessment of Teachers Attitudes	0
10.0	STAFF PATTERNS	9
	10.1 Kinds of Staff Patterns	
	10.2 Staff	9 9 9
	10.3 Pupils per Class	á
	10.4 Aides/Paraprofessionals per Class	ģ
	10.5 N-EMT or Bilingual Aides/Paraprofessionals per Class 10.6 Methods of Providing Special Aid to Pupils Having Most Difficulty in Learning	
	INSTRUCTIONAL COMPONENT - DURATION AND EXTENT OF BILINGUAL COMPONENT	
11.0	11.1 Duration of Bilingual Education (Policy)	9
	11.2 Projected Duration of Project Instruction through N-EMT Language (in years)	ģ
	11.3 Grade When Second Language Learning Is Introduced	ģ
	11.4 Projected Linking of Current Project to Future Bilingual Program	10
	11.5 Projected Duration of Second Language Learning for English Dominant	
	Students 7	10
	11.6 Projected Duration of Learning in Native Language for N-E Dominant	•
	Students	10
	11.7 - 11.9 Instructional Time in and through their Native Language for	
	N-EMT Students who Are Non-English Dominant	10
	11.10 - 11.12 Instructional Time in and through their Native Language (N-EMT)	
	for pupils who are N-EMT/E Dominant	10
	11.13 Program Type - One Way	11
	11.14 - 11.16 Instructional Time in and through Second Language for EMT Students	11
	11.17 Mixed or Separate Language Usage by Teacher and Aide in Classroom	11
12.0	METHODS OF SECOND LANGUAGE TEACHING	11-1
13.0	DOMINANT AND SECOND LANGUAGE SKILLS SEQUENCE	••
_	13.1 Sequential or Concurrent Learning of Listening, Speaking in Both Languages	12
	13.2 Relation of Reading and Writing to Listening, Speaking	12 12 12 13
	13.3 Determination of Listening, Speaking Proficiency	12
	13.4 Relationship of Learning Native and Second Language Reading Skills	12
	13.5 Period Reading Is Introduced	12
	13.6 Determination of Reading Readiness	13 13
	13.7 Projected Grade for Grade Level Reading in Dominant and Second Language	
	13.8 Projected Grade for Grade Level Academic Achievement in Second Language	13 13
14.0		14
15.0		14
16.0		14
	16.1 Reading Materials - Types	17
	16.2 Reading Material in Child's Dialect	14
	16.3 Materials and Techniques for Second Language Learning 16.4 Sources of Materials in Language other than English	14 14 15 15
	16.5 Spesific Bilingual/Bicultural Materials Used	Ī5
17.0		
17.0	17.1 Mixed or Separated by Dominant Language	1 5
	17.2 Size of Groups	15
	17.3 Criteria for Grouping	15
18.0		
	18.1 Student Tutoring	15
	18.2 Paraprofessional Tutoring	15
	18.3 Parent Tutoring	16
	18.4 Training of Parent Tutors	16
19.0		16
20.0	COGNITIVE DEVELOPMENT	• /
-	20.1 In Early Childhood	16
	20.2 In Later Grades	16
21.0	SELF ESTEEM	17
22.0		17
23.0		100
by ERIC	23.1 Type	17
_	23.2 Cross-Cultural Awareness	18

	11.2 Projected Duration of Project Instruction through N-EMT Language (in years)	ģ
	11.3 Grade When Second Language Learning Is Introduced	9
	11.4 Projected Linking of Current Project to Future Bilingual Program	1Ó
	11.5 Projected Duration of Second Language Learning for English Don ant	
	Students	10
	11.6 Projected Duration of Learning in Native Language for N-E Dominant	
	Students	10
	11.7 - 11.9 Instructional Time in and through their Native Language for	
	N-EMT Students who Are Non-English Dominant	10
	11.10 - 11.12 Instructional Time in and through their Native Language (N-EMT)	
	for pupils who are N-EMT/E Dominant	10
	101 habita and are nearly a seminant	11
•,-		īī
		īī
	TITI INVER OF Debutane remember of remember of the state	11-12
12.0	INITION OF OPPOSIT THE STATE STATES	
13.0	DOMINANT AND SECOND LANGUAGE SKILLS SEQUENCE	12
	Tot pedrentiat or concarrent porture or management the management of the second of the	12
	The water at managed and was a state of the	12
	TILL DO ACTUATURATOR AT MAD ACTUADO A LA CONTRADA DE C	12
	Thet retratement of married was a married and a married an	13
	#/1/ 0 Co Dom 4-0444-100	13
		13
		13
	And the second s	13
15.0	* ·	14
16.0	MATERIALS	7 /
	TOST MANAGEMENT -N.L.	14
	TOTE TOWARD THE AMERICAN THE ATTENDED IN A TOWARD A TOWARD A	14
	TOO IN ACTUAL AND THE TAXABLE TAXABLE TAXABLE TO TAXABL	14
	701% 24m 400 42 100 42 20m 400 4m 4m 4m 4m 50 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	15
	701) phoens	15
17.0	STUDENT GROUPING	15
	Tier system of asking man at a seminary and a semin	
	Tien and at acords	15
	17.3 Criteria for Grouping	15
18.0	TUTORING	3 -
	18.1 Student Tutoring	15
	18.2 Paraprofessional Tutoring	15
	18.3 Parent Tutoring	16
	18.4 Training of Parent Tutors	16
19.0		16
20.0		-/
	20.1 In Early Childhood	16
	20.2 In Later Grades	16
	SELF ESTEEM	17
22.0	LEARNING STRATEGIES	17
23.0	BICULTURAL COMPONENT	
	23.1 Type	17
	23.2 Cross-Cultural Awareness	18
	23.3 Decreasing Ethnocentrism	18
	23.4 Extent of Learning About N-EMT Culture	18
	23.5 Definition of American Culture	18
24.0	COMMUNITY COMPONENT	
	2/.1 Bilingual Libraries	18
	24.2 Ethnic Studies Library	18 ,
	24.3 Program Dissemination to Community	19
	24.4 Methods Used to Seek Community Involvement in Formulation of Program Policies	19
	24.5 Methods Used to Keep Program Informed About Community	19
	24.6 Means by which School Is Open to Community	19
. 25.0	IMPACT EVALUATION	19-20
	EVALUATOR'S ROLE	20
	EVALUATION PROCEDURE	20



ERIC

bilingual education applied research unit project b.e.s.t.

0.1 Project No. 336

n.y.c. consortium on bilingual education

2-elementary students (grades 1-6) 3-secondary students (grades 7-12)

4-teachers 0-not specified VERIFIED by PROJECT

CONTENT ANALYSIS SCHEDULE

FOR BILINGUAL EDUCATION PROGRAMS Research Assistant R.P. Ludanyi /R. Ehrlich Date March, 72 0.2 and of Project Santa Fe Bilingual-Bicultural Education Prog. 0.3 Address of Project Santa Fe Public Schools 0.4 610 Alta Vista St. Santa Fe, New Mexico STATE .05 1-Alaska 11-Louisiana 21-01:lahona 2-Arizona 12-Maine 22-Oregon 3-California 13-Massachusetts 23-Pennsylvania 4-Colorado 14-liichigan 24-hode Island 5-Connecticut 15-liontana 25-Texas 6-Florida 16-New Hampshire 26-Utah 7-Guara 17-New Jersey 27-Vermont 8-Idaho 13 New Hexico 28-ilashington 9-Illinois 19-New York 29-Wisconsin 10-Indiana 20-Ohio 30-Other (specify) 1.0 PAOJECT HISTORY, FUNDING AND SCOPE 1.1 Year Project began under Title VII: 1.1 _ 07 Project 2.0 FUNDING (Mark all that apply) 1-Any P.IO. funding of EILINGUAL program, if Title VII continues or expands that program 0-no prior funding mentioned 2.2 Year prior funding began 2.3 Prior bilingual program involved: 2.3 <u>n.a.</u> 1-early childhood (pre K + K) 2-elementary students (grades 1-6) 3-secondary students (grades 7-12) 0-not specified 2.4 Source of prior bilingual program funding: 2.4 n.a. 1-local 4-university 5-federal (specify) 2-state 6-other (specify) 3-foundation 2.5 CONCURRENT funding of program(s), if cooperating with Title VII program 0-no concurrent funding mentioned 2.6 Concurrent program cooperating with Title VII involves: 1-early childhood (pre K * K)



0.3 Addr	ress of Project Santa Fe Public Schoo	s
	Santa Fe, New Mexico	
.05	Santa Fe, New Mexico	0.5 18
	1-Alaska* 11-Louisiana 21-Oklahoma 2-Arizona 12-Maine 22-Oregon 3-California 13-Massachusetts 23-Pennsylvania 4-Colorado 14-Michigan 24-Lhode Island 5-Connecticut 15-Montana 25-Texas 6-Florida 16-New Hampshire 26-Utah 7-Guam 17-New Jersey 27-Vermont 8-Idaho 18-New Herrico 28-Mashington 9-Illinois 19-New York 29-Misconsin 10-Indiana 20-Ohio 30-Other (specify	'
	Year Project began under Title VII: see 97 - 1969 Project 07 - 1970 No. 17 - 1971	1.1 <u>07</u>
2.0 FU 2.1	<pre>INDING (Hark all that apply) 1-Any P. ION funding of BILINGUAL program, if Title VII continues or expands that program O-no prior funding mentioned</pre>	2.1 <u>O</u>
2.2	Year prior funding began	2.2 <u>n.a.</u>
2.3	Prior bilingual program involved: 1-early childhood (pre K + K) 2-elementary students (grades 1-6) 3-secondary students (grades 7-12) 0-not specified	2.3 <u>h</u> .a.
2.4	Source of prior bilingual program funding: 1-local 4-university 2-state 5-federal (specify) 3-foundation 6-other (specify)	2.4 <u>n.a</u> .
2.5	CONCURRENT funding of program(s), if cooperating with Title VII program O-no concurrent funding mentioned	2.5
2.6	Concurrent program cooperating with Title VII involved 1-early childhood (pre K + K) 2-elementary students (grades 1-6) 3-secondary students (grades 7-12) 4-teachers 0-not specified	res: 2.6 2
2.7	Source of concurrent funding, if cooperating with Tiprogram: Clocal (specify) State 5-other (specify) 3-university 5-found that we care	tle VII 2.7 1, 2, 4
2.8	Total Title VII grant (first year only)	2.8 \$70,000
2.9	Total funds for concurrent program(s) cooperating wi Title VII (first year)	th 2.9 42,599
3. (1) 0	If a UNIVERSITY is working with the Title VII program specify which: University of New Mexnone College of Santa Fe	3.0 <u>l</u>

```
4.0 'SCOPE of PROJECT
     4.1 Numbers of schools involved in Title VII program:
                       4-four
                                        0-not specified
         2-two
                       5-five
         3-three
                       6-other
                                                                   4.2 A 87
B .131.
     4.2 Total number of students in program A. First year
                                           D. Second year
                                            C. Third year
     4.3 Grade level of students in program; number of classes per
         grade and total number of students by grouped grade levels
         (by second year)
                     Number of
                                                         Number of 4.3 PSK
                                              Grade
         Grade
                     Classes
                                                         Classes
         PS-PreSchool
                                              7-grade 7
         K-Kndgtn
                                              8-grade 8
        PSK ____TOTAL NO. students PS and K 9-grade >
                                              B TOTAL students gr. 7-9
        1-grade 1
                                              10-grade 10
        2-grade 2
                                              11-grade 11
         3-grade 3
                                              12-grade 12
                                              12-grade 12
C TOTAL students gr. 10-12
         4-grade 4
         5-grade 5
        6-grade 6
A. J.3. TOTAL students gr. 1-6
                                                                  4.4 . ] . . .
    4.4 1-All classes graded
        2-All classes ungraded
        3-Some classes ungraded
        If ungraded, specify ages or grades grouped together:
5.0 PLOCESS VALIABLES - STUDENTS (Sociolinguistic)
    5.1 Students Dominant and Native language interaction and
        cultural affiliation (Indicate number of students in each
        category and specify cultural affiliation in box)
        (Circle any information which is inferred and write IMF.)
                  Non-English Dominant Inglish Dominant 5.0

I N-E Dom - NEIT II E-Dom - NEIT
                                                                         No. 5
1. Total
                 I . N-E Dom - NEAT
  Non-English
                                                             HE Con: I
                      111
  Mother Tongue
                                                             M-MM
                                                                    II_1 \cdots
2. Total
                                         II2 E-Dom - HIT
  English
                                                            12 20 15 90
  liother-Tongue
                                                        Total R-Dom 20 15 %
                 I Total Hon-English
                                        II Total English
                   Dominant: []]
                                          Dominant: 20
```

Non-English Dominant English Dominant

grade and total number of students by grouped grade levels (by second year) Number of 4.3 PSK Number of Grade Classes Classes Grade PS-PreSchool 7-grade 7 8-grade 8 K-Kndgtn PSK TOTAL NC. students PS and N 9-grade > B TOTAL students gr. 7-9 1-grade 1 -10-grade 10 11-grade 11 2-grade 2 3-grade 3 12-grade 12 C TOTAL students gr. 10-12 4-grade 4 5-grade 5 6-grade 6 A 13 TOTAL students gr. 1-6 4.4 4.4 1-All classes graded 2-All classes ungraded 3-Some classes ungraded If ungraded, specify ages or grades grouped together: 5.0 P. CCESS VA. MABLES - STUDENTS (Sociolinguistic) 5.1 Students Dominant and Native language interaction and cultural affiliation (Indicate number of students in each category and specify cultural affiliation in box) (Circle any information which is inferred and write INF.) I N-E Dom - NENT II. E-Dom - NENT No. 5 1. Total 111 85% Non-English HE don I 111 liother Tongue II2 E-Dom - EIT Edom II1 2. Total English 112 20 15 % Nother-Tongue I Total Hon-English . Yu.: Mon-Inglish Dominant English Dominant N-E Dom N-EMT E-Dom Non-English Example: a native Spanish speaker Example. a native Spanish Liother Tongue who uses Spanish in most contacts speaker who uses Spanish only in though he may know English familiar contacts, and English in all others: school, work. N-I Dom - ENT 17-11'on - 17.51'-English Example: (rare) a native inglish Traples: 1)a native E.speaking Mother Tongue speaking Puerto Lican child, acculturated American who may born in New York who returns or in not know a second lang. to Puirto lico and becomes 2)a native E. speaking Spanish dominant Hemican-American child who has a minimal receptive knowledge of Spanish, but has a Latin culture affiliation

5.2	Cultural or Ethnic identi by number and 5 of each:	fication of target	students in prog	page 3
	Indigenous Americans:	Hunber	Per Cent of Total Students	
	A1 Navajo	Å1,		cuecu (V)
	A2 Cherokee	A2	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	A3 Other (specify)	7.3	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	A TOTAL No. of American I		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	i loim ho. Of high can i	ngian n	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	to a series we see
	Americans of other ethnic	baclgrounds:		
	B1 Nexican-American	B1 !!!	85 5	
	B2 Puerto-lican		. <u>V </u>	1
	B3 Cuban	B2		% · · · · ·
	•	В3		
	B4 Other Spanish-American		c	
	(specify)	D4	<i>'</i> :	
	B TOTAL No. of Spanish-	В	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
	speaking Americans	- · · · ·	,	e de e de 90 e, i
	C Portuguese-American	C	e	
	D Franco-American	D	نې ^{کړی}	• ** • * **
	F Chinese-American	F		
	attriope refor reatt	F		**=***
	G Eskimo	<u>G</u>	بن	
	H Russian	E	٠ ـ	
	J Other	J		,
_				,
	TOTAL number of N-EIT ta students	rget	85 ,5	
5.3	Ethnic identity of Engli population, if specified 21 Anglo	sh mother tongue s, by number and per	tudents other than cent.	n target
	E2	I2.	%	

II	TOTAL number of MIT stud other than target popula		15%	
. ب				
5.4	Students' native language from their dominant language	uage.	if DIFFE. ENT	5.4 n.S.
	Designant January	(specify)		
:	Dowinant language	Different Native	Language Humber	Per Cent
	1-English			1 in 10 to 40 to
	2-Spanish	Santana and Anna and	****	
5.5	Students' Dominant Langua	age and Extent of 1	Bilinevalism	
,				
•	Dominant language of students in program	Humber of Honoling Students	to any extent	idents Bilingual t
	Number	not lio.	not only 1	istening speaking
	•	spec.	spec. comprel	nension ability
	20	10 -		·
E A -,_	English 15 American Indian	18 9	87, 2	10 0 0

	B1 Herican-American B2 Puerto-Lican B3 Cuban B4 Other Spanish-American (specify) B TOTAL No. of Spanish- speaking Americans	B1	•••	85	•			
: (C Portuguese-American D Franco-American Chinese-American G Eskimo H Russian J Other	C D F G H			,			-
	TOTAL number of N-EIT targe students	t . <u>.</u>	M.	.85	مر			
5.3	Ethnic identity of English population, if specified, b	mother t y number	ongue si and per	tudents c	ther than	target		
	21 Anglo	31, 3 ,0	to- •	15.5	;	, • • • • • ·		
	E2	E2	- -		;			
II	TOTAL number of MIT student other than target populatio		LO.	.15	, 50°			
5.4	Dominant language D 1-English	ge. specify) ifferent	ilative	Language	llunber	5.4 Per	Cent	.S
5.5	Students' Dominant Language	and Ext	ent of E	dilingual	ism			
		mber of i	ionoling		er of stu ny extent	dents B	ilingv	al
	Number % no sp	t ī ec.	io.	spec	only licomprehe	ension	-	_
E A	20 English 15		18 9	8%	2	10	O	0
Al.	Indian		· · · · .					
A2	Cherokee	• • •	• • • •				• • •	
A3 A4	ner egan	•				• • •	•	
ີ ຕ	Other (spec.) Spanish 85	2	20	89	91	87		0
C	Portuguese		• • • •		# #			
D F G	French Chinese		· · ·					* •14
G	Eskimo						• •, •	
H J	ussian Other (spec.)	~ .			4.			• •
	a man a series of the series o		•	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••	••		* . •

ERIC Full Text Provided by ERIC

1 Hone 2 Church

5 . 8	inner c		%	apply) rcent for each)		
	A. Socio-econ (indicate	omic status of N- E specific percent o mily income, if me	of low SES)	ating students	5.9 A. 55	20
5.10	(indicate sp	cmic status of AA ecific percent of plicable (no AAT) ecified			5.10 <u>25</u> estimat	70
5.11		f migrant students ecific percent) ecified			5.11 <u>5</u>	Zo
6.0 SOC	CIOLINGUISTIC	SURVEY		¥.		
6.1	Project state was made will be made not mention	H-III group	uistic surv II for IA grou		3.1 I	P. p.27-37
6.2	J	guistic survey was	or will be	·	6.2 I <u>4</u> II <u>4</u> .	•
6.3	will be deter	nance of N-IIT gromined by the enterous neans of communextent descriptive	nt each lan nication. ely: never,	guage is used i	children, C teach n different domain ays 6.3 A	ng.
*		USE NON-ENGLISH L		USE THIGH ISH	0	••
6 Height 7 film 8 Hagas 9 Other	ch ol alizing hborhood -TV-radic zines,news	85-9	2 %	. 8		TIG'
			-, - , -,			

ERIC

			page	5 - 30
6.4	If not included in survey, how was student's determined?	language I N-LiT		
	1-inferred by use of surname 2-established by formal testing of students 3-assessed by informal means (specify how) 4-not menuioned how language dominance was cetorianed			· · · · ·
6.5	Sociolinguistic Survey includes: (check all An analysis to determine if an interlanguin the community, (e.g., a mixture of two which serves as a single system of communa group of people). 1-yes 0-no	age exist language	S S	6.5 <u>Q</u>
	sociolinguistic survey includes itche co	vering:		
6.6	N-EXT parents' attitudes toward maintenar N-EXT in particular domains of use or con to English 1-yes 0-no	nce of chi plete shi	ld's ft	6.6 <u>D</u>
6.7	EIT parents' attitudes toward their child of the N-EIT language 1-yes 0-no	lren's lea	arning	6.7 <u>O</u>
6.8	Children's own attitudes regarding the set they are learning and the speakers of the Tyes	econd lang at languag	guage ge	6.8
6.9	If not included in survey how were parent community attitudes toward N-MiT maintend determined? 1-will not be assessed 2-will be assessed, method not specified 3-has been or will be assessed by method sociolinguistic survey (specify how)	ance other the	an	6.9
6.1	O 1 After sociolinguistic survey is made, ho program? (specify) (e.g. transfer or mai programs) O-not mentioned			
7.0 S	TAFF SELECTION		•	
7.1	Linguistic background of project teachers, (indicate non-English language in each box)	by number	in each ca	tegory:
	Language dominance not specified lother tongue not specified not specified whether monolingual or bi	lingual	specified	formation is not cross out that domplete the he chart)
			~ 1	ct.

N=

ERIC

I N E Dom.

	a group of people). 1-yes 0-no		
	. Modiclingulatic survey includes items covering:		•
6.6	N-ENT parents' attitudes toward maintenance of chemical in particular domains of use or complete state to English 1-yes 0-no		6.6 <u> </u>
6.7	ETT parents' attitudes toward their children's le of the N-ETT language 1-yes 0-no	earning	6.7 <u>O</u>
6.8	Children's own attitudes regarding the second larthey are learning and the speakers of that languation of the second larguages.		6.8
6.9	If not included in survey how were parental and/ocommunity attitudes toward N-HiT maintenance determined? 1-will not be assessed 2-will be assessed, method not specified 3-has been or will be assessed by method other the sociolinguistic survey (specify how)	han	6.9 &
	1-After sociolinguistic survey is made, how does in program? (specify) (e.g. transfer or maintenance programs) 0-not mentioned PER SELECTION	t influence instructiona	6.10 l nittee
7.1	Linguistic background of project teachers, by number (indicate non-English language in each box)	r in each cat	egory:
5.4	Language dominance not specified Mother tongue not specified not specified whether monolingual or bilingual	specified,	ermation is not cross out that complete the chart)
	A-Monolingual B-Bilingual NEMT N= N= N= N= N= N= N= N= N= A-Monolingual B-Bilingual N= N= N= N= Total Number Bonolingual Total Number Bilingual Total Number of Teachers N	7.1 I A II B III A III B III A III B III A III B III A III B III N	
	AN Appellance of		

7.2 Linguistic backgrou	und of project aide	s or paraprofes	sionals. by r	umber:
(indicate non-Engli	ish language in eac	h box)		
	nance not specified		•	rmation is not
2Mother tongue				cross out that
?Not specified	whether monolingua	_	heading and rest of the	complete the chart)
	A Monolingual	B Bilingual	7.2	No. %
I N-E Dom			AI	
N-FMT		•	ΙB	
	·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	A II	
II E Dom	•	į	II B	
EMT	•	<u> </u>	II ₁ A	
II E Dom	·		II'E 1	<u> 700</u>
1 N-EIT	1	6	•	A O 100
11—12 11	;	o i		B 6 100
		N Total Number	•	N ,B-
A Total Number B	Total Number	of aides or		
Monolingual	Bilingual	paraprofessi	onals	
		6		
7.3 Language(s) used by (Mark all that appl		toachers:		7.3 <u>2</u>
1-Bilingual teacher	•			
1a-Bilingual <u>dominant</u> l	teachers who teach anguage, whether the	in only one lar hat is their na	nguage teach tive or secon	in their d language.
Rilingual	toochoma who tooch	4m		
native lan	teachers who teach	in only one la	nguage teach	in their
	only if native langu	lace is also the	air dominant	languago
1c-e	even if native language	lage is also one	ir dominant l	andrage Tanguage
1-0-	not specified		dominano i	m.e.e.e.
2 Bilingual teacher	's teach in both the	eir native and	second langua	ge,
	h is their <u>dominant</u>			
0-language(s)used b	y teachers not spec	cified		
7.4 Language(s) used by (Mark all that appl	bilingual program	cides or param	olcasionals.	7.4_2
	•			0 - 0
1-Bilingual aides i	nstruct in only one	e language		Pp23a 40a
1a-Bilingual aide	s who instruct in c	only one language	ge teach in	1400
their dominant	language, whether ho instruct in only	or not it is the	neir native l	anguage.
Theonly if nutire	no instruct in only	one lang. teac	ch in their <u>n</u>	ative lang.:
10-only if native	: language islso t : language is not tl	weir dominant]	Language	
1-0 not specified	Tankaake Is HOL M	err dominant I	mgdage	
2)Bilingual aides i		oir native and a	and langua	mo.
regardless of whi	ch is their dominan	it language.	second Tangua	(~ H8-4
		- -		C P 48-4
0-language(s) used	•	137		
7.5 <u>Cultural affiliation</u> number and percent	n of teachers. aide (Mark all that app	s, project directly Specify cul	ctor and evaluation tural affilia	luators by

B. Aides No. % C. Proj. Director .. Evaluator(s)No.

Я

A. Teachers No. %

14-जन्म		<u> </u>		II A	
II E Dom				II B	
EMT .	•	•	•	II1A II1B	700
II E Dom					b 100
1 N-E/T	t .	6	:	В	<u> 100</u>
	1	N. Total	Number	ν	<u>6.</u>
A Total Number	B Total Number		ides or	يمرمه	
Monolinguel	Bilingual	parap	professionals		
			<u> </u>		•
7.3 Language(s) use (Nark all that		ogram teacher	25.3	7.3	2
1-Bilingual tea	achers teach in or	nly one langua	age		
	gual teachers who ant language, whet				
	gual teachers who	teach in only	one language	e teach in th	neir
<u>native</u>	e language: 1b-only if native	language is	also their do	ominant lang	1age
	1c-even if native 1-0-not specified	language is			
	achers teach in bowhich is their do			l language,	
0-language(s)us	sed by teachers no	ot specified			
7.4 Language(s) use (liark all that	ed by bilingual parapply)	<u>.07191 11608 (</u>	or parappoless	oionals 7.4	2
1-Bilingual aid	les instruct in or	nly one langua	age		Pp23a
	aides who instruc				'40a
Cilingual aid	<u>inant</u> language, whiles who instruct	in only one la	ang. teach in	their <u>nativ</u>	e lang.:
	ativo language is ativo language is				
1-0 not speci		not their do	ittimit Tailani	ge	
2-Bilingual aid	les instruct in bo	th their nat:	ive and second	l language,	
regardless of	f which is their d	lominant lang	age.		Cb 48-40
0-language(s) v	used by bilingual	pro van aldon	s hot specifie	eđ.	
7.5 <u>Cultural affili</u> number and perc	iation of teachers cent (Mark all th				
A. Teachers No. %	B. Aides No.	。 % C. Proj.	Director D.	. Evaluator(s)No. %
Span-Am 6 11	DO Span Am 6	100 Spa	n. Am	Anglo	_ 50 %
(2 born in Chile)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			Span	1 50 %
0-not specified					

Pp 32-33



7	•	Selection of N-MiT teachers from local community 0-not specified Number of N-MiT program teachers from local community 6 A 100
7	7.7	Number and Proportion of teachers and aides of same cultural background as N-MIT students: indicate specific percent on the blank, or if specified descriptively, 1-few 2-some 3-many 4-most 5-more than half 0-not specified
· ,	7.8	Teacher Qualifications - Training and experience prior to project (Indicate number of teachers with each qualification, 7.8 2,5,8, if given) if given) 14,15, 20, 24, 27
Pp51		0-previous courses not specified 1. teacher must meet a specified level of language proficiency on a standardized proficiency test of the non-English language through which (s)he will instruct 2. teacher must meet a specified level of communicative competence in
		the non-English language determined by a structured interviewfluency previous teaching through N-ENT (in country where it is a native native- language, in Peace Corps) previous teaching in local area/live in the contentty petence courses in N-ENT language structure and usage linguistics or FL trainin courses in N-E literature or literacy in Spanish must be bilingual any previous education through N-ENT/content of courses learned through courses in teaching ESL/audio lingual approach courses in methods of teaching N-ENT language/language development courses in methods of teaching content (e.g. math) in N-ENT certification in ESL/or experience teaching ESL certification in teaching N-ENT cross cultural courses tourses in the cultural heritage, values, deep culture of N-ENT or
8.	O ST	16. other qualifications, specify 20-understanding of children cheerful attitude, stable personally 20-understanding of children children from impoverishing apecial preparation for teaching children from impoverishing personally appecial preparation for teaching children from impoverishing DEVELOPIENT (27) Committeent to project n.s.
	8.1	0-No staff training mentioned The project is offering training for teachers A. For B. For Para- and /or paraprofessionals in the following areas: Teachers professionals (mark all that apply)
	1-Eng 2-The 3-X 4 4-The 5-Net 6-Net	Training indicated, but nature not specified glish as their second language teaching of English as a second language teaching of X as a second language thods of teaching other academic subjects thods of teaching other academic subjects X language

ERIC

3-many 4-most 5-more than half 0-not specified

	ı .
1	7.8 Teacher Qualifications - Training and experience prior to project (Indicate number of teachers with each qualification, 7.8 2, 5,
•	
1	n.squalifications not specified
•	Ombrevious courses not specified
	teacher must meet a specified level of language proficiency on a
	standardized proficiency test of the non-English language through
Dri	which (s)he will instruct teacher must meet a specified level of communicative competence in
Pp51	the man English language determined by a structured interview fluency
•	3. previous teaching through H-Mil (in country where it is a native himself of the line)
	language, in Peace Corps)
	4. previous teaching in local area/live in the community petence 5. courses in N-EHT language structure and usage linguistics or FL trainin
	6. courses in N-E literature on literacy in Spanish
	7 must be bilinged
	8. any previous education through n-an/content of courses learned through
	courses in teaching ESL/audio lingual approach
	10. courses in methods of teaching N-AIT language/language development 11. courses in methods of teaching content (e.g. math)in N-HiT
	12. certification in ESL/or experience teaching DSL
	13. certification in teaching N-MIT
	1/ cross cultural courses
	15. Courses in the cultural heritage, values, deep culture of N-MIT or
	16. other qualifications, specify 20-understanding of children cheerful attitude, stable personality
	24 - special preparation for teaching children it mareas
8.	o staff development 27 Committment to Projecta. n.s.
	B n.5
	0-No staff training mentioned 8.1 The project is offering training for teachers A. For B. For Para-
	and for paraprofessionals in the following areas: Teachers professionals
	(mark all that apply)
	n.sTraining indicated, but nature not specified . 1-English as their second language
	2-The teaching of English as a second language
	3-X as their second language
	4-The teaching of X as a second language
	5-liethods of teaching other academic subjects 6-liethods of teaching other academic subjects
	in X language
	I_1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10
	U.2 Stated goals of teacher training are: 8.2 Il 123 18 110 Students
C	Understanding of socio-cultural values and practices of
	2)Cross-cultural training
	Sensitivity to ethnocentricism and linguistic snobbery
	Wareness of the social-emotional development of
	Strategies for accomodating the different learning
1	styles of Strategies for cognitive development of
' 6	2 Strategies for reinforcing the self-esteem of
	8 Methods of cross-cultural teaching or teaching the
	bicultural component
	9) Formulation of pupil performance objectives
(Methods of evaluation of pupil performance objectives
	List specific courses if given (or Xerox and attach)

Teachers in the Elementary Bilingual Program will have a certified Elementary certificate with as much training in Bilingual Education as possible. The teachers will have the following responsibilities:

- 1. Will be responsible for all instruction in the Bilingual classrooms so as to meet objectives of the proposal.
- 2. Will participate in the planning of the program.
- 3. Will evaluate the program plan and revise it when necessary in order to fulfill the program objectives and and student objectives.
- 4. Will participate in in-service training throughout the school year.

Teacher Aides must be Bilingual and be a high school graduate or passed the GED examination. The duties of the teacher aides are as follows:

- 1. Keeping records
 - A. Lunch, club, attendance, grade, health
- 2. Preparing classroom materials
 - A. Typing and ditto
 - B. Transparencies
- 3. Filing and cataloging
- 4. Operating audio-visual equipment



- 5. Setting up bulletin boards
- 6. Distributing and receiving materials
- 7. Assisting with some instructional activities
- 8. Enriching, telling or reading stories; accompanying children on field trips, assisting with music or art.
- 9. Working with sub-groups or individuals
- 10. Preparing classroom for next day
- 11. Supervising assignments previously explained by the teacher.
- 12. Reinforcing reading skills previously taught by the teacher.
- 13. Checking books in and out; taking class to library
- 14. Assisting students who have been absent
- 15. Marking papers and simple objective-type tests
- 16. Leader of one magic circle in the Human Development Program.
- 17. Listening to students; do "Show and Tell" stories; just listening with interest and concern
- 18. Helping students with personal problems or pupil-topupil problems.



70

- 19. Helping students find information, or helping with special assignments
- 20. Providing a link between teacher and home
- 21. Encouraging parents to support students concerning attendance, homework
- 22. Accompanying children home when ill.
- 23. Welcoming parents to school or school functions
- 24. Assisting with all other duties as assigned by the teacher and/or the principal.

Spanish Resource Specialist One of our bilingual teachers Mrs. Olivia Pinchiera, who is considered an expert in the Spanish language area was also given the title of Spanish Resource Specialist. Her duties other than those of a classroom teacher will include:

- 1. Provide assistance in the Spanish techniques and methodology of instruction.
- 2. Provide expertise in the translation of suitable and adoptable materials.
- 3. Assist in coordinating instructional guides.

```
8.3 1.3.4, 5
  8.3 Methods of Teacher Training: (Mark all that apply)
   (1)-courses
     2-experiential, teaching supervised by master; teacher
    (3) workshops where teachers offer suggestions to each other
    Quee of video-tapes of teachers for feedback on how they are doing
    (5) cross-cultural sensitivity training, t-groups
    6-interaction analysis (e.g. Flanders system)
    This tations to bilingual projects in Mexico, Albuquerque, Española, Las Vegas,
  8.4 Project provides released time to teachers and paraproffesion-
       als for joint lesson planning: 1-yes 0- not mentioned
78.5 Project provides for paraprofessionals to receive course credit 8.5
 35 toward eventual certification: 1-yes 0-not mentioned How? (specify) Aides are allowed released time to
  take college classes
8.6 Paraprofessional's role:
    1-teaching whole class
    2-teaching small groups
    3-tutoring individually
    5-contributing to bi-ultural component 7a-c
how? SEE XEROX 8b-b-c
6-liaison with parent
    6-liaison with parents
  8.7 Training for project teachers and paraprofessionals is given by: 8.7 A
                                       A for teachers B for aides
       (mark all that apply)
    Q-not specified
   (1) University faculty
     2-project's Master Teachers
  4-other (specify) 3 day Workshop by Human Development (Palomares) frogram (Consultants a day seminar in Bilingual - Bicultural awareness, Albuquerque no. % 8.8 Number and Proportion of personnel giving teacher training who 8.8 10 100 are:
    3-project's teachers
                                                                              8.8<sup>1</sup>10 100
    1-bilingual
    2-bicultural
   · 3-N-AIT (specify background)
  8.9 Training is provided:
   (Dduring a summer session
   2 during the academic year
    3-other (specify)
  8.10 Extent of training:
                                           B (indicate no. of hours)
                                          5 / after apphyree!: ly
   A 1-approximately equivalent to a
       college course
                                              6 monthly
                                            7 . 7 bi-monthly
    2-more than one course
    3-less than one course
    4-other (specify)
                                                                              8.11 6 /00
  8.11 Number and Proportion of teachers attending training:
                               or: if specified descriptively, indicate:
    0-not specified
                                   6-most
    1 -100%
                                   7-many
    2-more than 75%
                                   8-fev
    3-50-74%
                                   9-other (specify)
    4-25-50%
```

5-1-24%

```
als for joint lesson planning: 1-yes 0- not mentioned
78.5 Project provides for paraprofessionals to receive course credit 8.5
toward eventual certification: 1-yes U-not mentioned
How? (specify) Aides are allowed released time
  take collège classes 8.6 Paraprofessional's role:
                                                                             8.6 1,2, 3, 4, 6
     1-teaching whole class
     2-teaching small groups
                                                                                    Cp48-50
     3-tutoring individually
     4-clerical
     5-contributing to bisultural component how? SEE XEROX 8h-b-c
     6-liaison with parents
  8.7 Training for project teachers and paraprovessionals is given by: 8.7 A , 9
       (mark all that apply) A for teachers B for aides
     Q-not specified
    (1) University faculty
     2-project's Master Teachers
  4-other (specify) 3 day workshop by Human Development (Palomares) Rogram (Consultants a day Servinar in Bilingual - Bicultural awareness, Albuquerque no. % 8.8 Number and Proportion of personnel giving teacher training who 8.8 10 100 are:
     3-project's teachers
                                                                                 210 100
       are:
                                                                                 310 100
     1-bilingual
     2-bicultural
    3-N-Eff (specify background)
   8.9 Training is provided:
   Dduring a summer session
   2 during the academic year
     3-other (specify)
  8.10 Extent of training:
                                           B (indicate no. of hours)
                                              5 / Afternoon, reelily
   A 1-approximately equivalent to a
       college course
                                              6 monthly
     2-more than one course
                                              7 ____ bi-monthly
     3-less than one course
     4-other (specify)
                                                                             8.11 6 100
   8.11 Number and Proportion of teachers attending training:
                              or: if specified descriptively, indicate:
     0-not specified
                                   6-most
     1 -100%
                                   7-many
     2-more than 75%
                                   8-feu
                                   9-other (specify)
     3-50-74%
     4-25-50%
     5-1-24%
 9.0 TEACHERS' ATTITUDES
   9.1 Teachers'attitudes are assessed: (Mark all that apply)
     Q-not mentioned
    1 to N-HIT language or dialect
    2 to N-EMT students - expectations of achievement
    (3-)to N-HiT culture
   (4-prior to participation in bilingual project
     5-after project training
     6-after participation for a period of time in project
     7-through a questionnaire
     8-other (specify) ( as a condition for employment)
```

talents by developing language skills necessary for reading.

A developmental language program is the first goal. We will

seek to do this by developing communication skills in the Spanish language. Scholastic skills are dependent on the development
of communication skills. The first aim of the program will be
the development of language arts stemming from the child's langtuage by providing him with experiences and activities that encourage language. The reading program will be an outgrowth of
these experiences in the child's own language. This can be
termed a natural environment because it is what is natural to
the child.

The second goal will be the development of an intellectual base. This will be accomplished by sequential development:

1) identifying and labeling objects; 2) providing experiences to describe; 3) forming abseract associations. The third goal will be the development of positive attitudes toward school and an awareness that learning is a pleasurable activity to be enjoyed by all and not just by a select few.

As the first three areas are developed, reading, writing, and arithmetic will take place in their proper perspective. These cannot begin to develop until the language skills, the intellectual base and positive attitudes have been established.

2. Teachers 7.4

Teachers will be selected for their sympathy for and understanding of the particular problems of our Spanish-speaking students; their identification with the target areas; their knowledge of and facility with the Spanish language; their knowledge of and training in early childhood education; and their understanding of and acceptance of the philosophy and goals of the bilingual program. The screening, selection, and training of these teachers will be the major first year objective.

Pole of 3. Paraprofessionals 8.5

Paraprofessionals for this program will be from the Career
Opportunities Program which will, by the terms of the COP grant,
include residents of the Model Cities Area, the areas where the
bilingual education program will be located. These instructional
paraprofessionals will be trained by the College of Santa Fe in
Early Childhood Education, Spanish, and Bilingual Education.
An additional advantage will also be the fact that these paraprofessionals will be working in schools in their home area so
there should be a strong, positive identification between child
and paraprofessional, home and school, and parent and paraprofessional.

4. Parents

Parents will be involved in determining overall program directions by their representations on the Policy Advisory Committee. Indiv-

1	0.0	STATE	PATTERNS
	~• ~	DI III I	

• .g =

1) team teaching 2-1 2 cluster teaching 3-1	ollingual teacher ESL teacher oilingual coordinator	onals apist Cp7,5
10.3 Average number of pupils per class: 0-not specified		10.3 25 Sect
10.4 Average number of aides or paraprofession 0-not specified	nals per class:	10.4
10.5 Average number of N-FAIT • bilingual aids professionals) per clas: 0-not specified	es (or para-	10.5
10.6 Special aide to pupils having most difficulties is given: 1 individually by: 3 teacher 2 in small groups 0-not specified 6 paraprofessional 6 parent tutor 7-older student to 8-peer tutor 9-not specified 10-no special help	L teacher L utor	10.6 1, 2, 3, 5, 6
11.0 INSTRUCTIONAL COMPONENT - DULATION AND EXT 11.1 Duration of Bilingual Education (policy)		
N-MIT language will be maintained in program (mark all that apply) O-not specified how long 1-as the alternative language of learning for as long as desired 2-as the medium of instruction for special subject matter (e.g. cultural heritage) 3-only for the length of time necessary for the acquisition of sufficient English to permit learning of academic content at an acceptable level in English		11.1 I I 2 II I 2 III -
11.2 How many years does project state is optifor N-EIT group through N-MIT language to	mal for instruction continue?	11.2 12
O-not mentioned if for a particular number of years: 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 (if specified in terms of a condition, pleas e.g. "if a child begins learning in H-MT a N-MIT instruction should continue through h	se state it - and English in Pre-K,	

10.3 Average number of publi 0-not specified	ls per class:		•	10.0 . 25	Sect C
10.4 Average number of aide	es or paraprofessiona	ls per cla	<u>.55</u> :	10.4	•
10.5 Average number of N-F1 professionals) per cla	T 🗪 bilingval aides s:	(or para-		10.5	•
2-in small groups 0-not specified	teacher -special remedial -special remedial -special remedial -special remedial -special remedial -special tutor -older student tut -special tutor -not special help	teacher or	rning	10.6 1 2, 3,	5,6
11.0 INSTRUCTIONAL COLPONENT	r - Dulation and exte	IIT OF BIL	INGUAL COMP	ONENT	
11.1 Duration of Bilingual	Education (policy)	I	II	II ₁	
M-EIT language will be made (mark all that apply) O-not specified how long 1-as the alternative language for as long as desired 2-as the medium of instructure subject matter (e.g. cu 3-only for the length of the acquisition of sufficient learning of acade acceptable level in English acceptable level in English for M-EIT group through	guage of learning action for special altural heritage) time necessary for Sicient English to denic content at an glish	NEMT		11.1 I 1.2 II 1.2 II.1.2 11.2	
0-not mentioned if for a particular number 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	10 11 (12) 13	Throng	th high	schoo!	-
(if specified in terms of e.g. "if a child begins N-MIT instruction should	learning in N-MIT and	d English	in Pre-K,		
-1 = -1 =					•
Duration of Bilingual Educa	ation (in practice) (iark all t	that apply)		
11.3 Second language learni code: C= N.A. (if no	EIT)-	hich grade	e:	11.3 I	
for each group N.A. Pr	re-K K 1 2 3 4		****	11 12	

ERIC

		siostog amege Militi Delitit						1
troktan s	00	licated grade O not	grade	اد الآجازي اه	Tria ve	PNC CTT	To Brane)	. 14 Voc.
code:		III).spccified			7_C: 1/	ר 12 יי	3-00110-00	training
I N-3 DOM	(11 no 1	ant/.specified	143		1-5 11	J-12 I	Decorrese.	otamme
II E-DA - M	. TO		•	مير.				• • •
		*		<u>,</u>	• • • • • • •	-	'a .a	p. 440
II] E-DON/NE	.rr			<u></u>				****
code:	13=Colleg 14=Federa	ge or Universi al, State, or	ity (0 Priva	ther p	rofess: ational	ional l Job	training) training	11.4 I 4-6 II 4-6 I 14-6
1.5 Second la projected	through	earning for Er grade: O not gr		_domir	iant sti	udents	; <u>is</u>	11.5 I ₂ _ 6
. code:		specified 1		3 4	5 6 '	78	9 10 11	12
II MAT		•			ممعا			
IL N-EIT/E D	lom . T.				. س			
O=not specif	ied/Grade it of inst	eted through get 1 2 3 4 tructional timestudents who	5 (3) ne in)7 8 and th	rough	their		nguage
code: O=not	specifie	ed m=nath s	= sci	.ence	ss = s	ocial	studies	see audit
44 67				11.8			11.9	amounted A
11.7	_	Total Na	~~		+	22 mh ±	-	ime per day of
liin. per day		Total Min. pe	žΓ	ວນວຽເ	ects ta	ugn շ	instry	
of instructi		day of any	_	īn ņe	ative l	ang.	insurv	
through il-fi	<u>[]'</u>	instruction					through Pre K	h N-EIT
Kinamanana.						• • • • • • •	··	
	,,,,,,,,,,			-,				= 5.70
<u> 1</u> 2 50.9./.	M(h. 715.))		LADI	3Ar.	ŗs		70.7a
2)506				Lan.	g. As	:t.S	بی پېي	(D. 19)
3'					1		3	
4						, 	<u> 4</u>	
5						<i>.</i>	5	
	•						· Z	
7'						%	7	
r							18	
ç								
0.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		• .;			10	
		structional to ts who are Eng					r native l	anguage SCC)
	not spec	cified N.A. =	= not		•	no N-l	•	students
11.10	•			11.1		_	11.12	
llin. per da	ıy	Total lin. pe	er	Subje	ects ta	ught.	% of t	ime per day of
of instruct	cion	day of any		in na	ative l	ang.	instru	ction h N-EiT
through N-I	1T	instruction				- . • • • •	throug	h N-EiT
			1				Pre K	
5000	Inc	hsl		LANG	ART	• · • · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	11	50 97e
50 90	• • ﴿ • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	!	*****	FILIVIO.	APT	<u>```</u>	2	0.90
		i					1 0	7
	,,		,					
, . 			1				: 4	
		i ''			. ,	•	1	
					. ,	•	1	

	5 Second Language projected through	h grade:		11. 6
	00 11	f 0 not grades	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	10 11 12
Τ.	I MIT	ir specifica i k		
	In N-EAT/E Dom	Touchus Aran Auda		
	students is proje	ected through grade	for Non-English domin	ant 11.6 6
0:	=not specified/Grad	de 1 2 3 4 5 (6	7 8 9 10 11 1	2
11.		structional time in T students who are	n and through their n N-E dominant is:	ative language
С	code: O=not specif	ied m=math s = so	cience ss = social s	tudies see audit
1	1.7		11.8	11.9
	iin. per day	Total Min. per	Subjects taught	% of time per day of
	of instruction	day of any	in native lang.	instruction
t	hrough il-EiT	instruction		through N-MIT Pre f.
re K	and the desired as a second se			
<u>.</u> .		. e	لمحاة فجعهما واستحمامها الرساب	1 50 %
Q	50.9 Mih 1.1	j.)Ω: . 5	. Lang. Arts	
@ :			Lang. Asts	3. 5.0.40
3'				
				. 4
5				7
5				. ! 8
آگِ کا		l		10
10;				10
11		ejangan na Galamanan na na na na na na	.) 	<u>4.1</u>
12				12 See Xel
11.	.10 The amount of i	nstructional time	in and through their	native language See Xel
	for N-EM stude	nts who are English	h dominant is:	WAREHA
	code: 0 = not sp	ecified N.A. = no	t applicable, no N-M	T, E dom students
	11.10		11.11	11.12
	lin. per day	Total liin. per	Subjects taught	% of time per day of
			Dadjood D Jane	h or other her and or
	of instruction	day of any	in native lang.	instruction
		day of any		instruction through N-MIT
	of instruction through N-ET	day of any,instruction	in native lang.	instruction through N-EIT
PreK 1	of instruction through N-HT.	day of any instruction	in native lang.	instruction through N-EIT Pre K
PreK 1 2	of instruction through N-HT.	day of any instruction	in native lang.	instruction through N-EIT
Preli 1 2 3	of instruction through N-AT	day of any instruction	in native lang. LANG ARTS LANG ARTS	instruction through N-MIT Pre K 1 50 70 2 50 90
PreK 1 2 3 4	of instruction through N-AT.	day of any instruction	in native lang. LANG ARTS LANG ARTS	instruction through N-EIT Pre K 1 50 70 2 50 95
Preli 1 2 3 4 5	of instruction through N-HT.	day of any instruction	in native lang. LANG ARTS LANG ARTS	instruction through N-HIT Pre K 1 50 70 2 50 90
Preli 1 2 3 4 5 6	of instruction through N-ET.	day of any instruction	in native lang. LANG ARTS LANG ARTS	instruction through N-HIT Pre K 1 50 70 2 50 90
Preli 1 2 3 4 5 6 7	of instruction through N-HT.	day of any instruction	in native lang. LANG ARTS LANG ARTS	instruction through N-HIT Pre K 1 50 70 2 50 90
PreK 1 2 3 4 5 6 7	of instruction through N-HT. 50.70 (ns.	day of any instruction	in native lang. LANG ARTS LANG ARTS	instruction through N-EIT Pre K 1 50 70 2 50 98
Preli 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	of instruction through N-AT. 50.70 (ns. 6.45)	day of any instruction	in native lang. LANG ARTS LANG ARTS	instruction through N-HIT Pre K 1 50 7e 2 50 9b 3 4 5
PreK 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	of instruction through N-HT. 50.70 (ns. 6.45)	day of any instruction	in native lang. LANG ARTS LANG ARTS	instruction through N-HIT Pre K 1 50 7e 2 50 7s 3 4. 5. 6 7. 8

ERIC AFUIT TEAST Provided by ERIC

FIRST REPORT

SANTA FE PUBLIC SCHOOLS

1970-1971

Introduction

This audit report is based upon two site visitations conducted on October 12th-13th, 1970, and February 4th, 1971, and review of a report submitted by the project evaluator, Dr. Mari-Luci Ulibarri, in October.

The report is divided into four major divisions: instructional program, staff development, community involvement, and evaluation, with findings and recommendations presented for each division. A summary statement is presented at the end of the report.

INSTRUCTIONAL PROGRAM

Findings. On both visits it was found that 15%-20% of the instructional program was in the Spanish language. But, the staff interviewed expressed that they were raising the Spanish language level with expectations of reaching a 50% English-50% Spanish division by year's end.

It was found that continuity was lacking in method being used in the experimental classrooms. Traditional word recognition, phonics, and the Van Allen approaches were being used in teaching reading in both English and Spanish. In general, the reading and language arts components of the program are presented in English and Spanish. All other subject matter is generally presented in English with Spanish used for clarification or reinforcement.



page 11 11.13

11.13 1-Program is one-way - only non-English Lother Tongue students (including N-EIT-English dominant). English Nother tongue students do not receive instruction in a second language

0-no English Hother tongue students

2-2 way - E.T learn the second language

11.14 The amount of instructional time in and through their second language for pupils who are native speakers of English is:

code: 0 = not specified N.A. = not applicable, no English IIT students

	11.14 ' Hin. per day	Total min. per	11.15 Subjects t	taught.	11.16 % of time per day
	of instruction through N-HIT	day of any instruction	in second	_	of instruction through N-237
PreK 1	NS (50%)				Pre K 150 %
3 4	N.SV				2. 50.96
5 6 7			! !		5
8 9		**************************************	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		8 9
10 11			1	•	10
12	Lancing and				.12

11.17 lixed or separate language usage by teacher and/or aide in the classroom (mark all that apply)

0-not specified

1 languages are never mixed by either the teacher, aide or the pupil in any one class period; only one language is used. 2-the second language is used exclusively by the teacher, aide and pupils during at least one portion of the school day.

3-the teacher uses one language exclusively within a class period; pupils are allowed to use either native or second language.

- 4-the teacher uses only one language; however, the aide or paraprofessional uses another during the same class period; students may use either.
- 5-the teacher reinforces any conversation initiated by the child through the use of whichever language the child has used at the time.

6-constant switching from one language to another by teacher during

7-the teacher uses English and the paraprofessional then translates the same material for N-MIT pupils. 8-other (summarize)

12.0 HETHODS OF SECOND LANGUAGE TEACHING

(Hark all that apply; some projects may use a combination of methods)

12.0 /2a



	Hin. per day	Total min. per	Subjects taught	% of time per day of instruction
	of instruction through N-MI	day of any instruction	in second lang.	through N-MIT
Prek	ALC / CD O		la ne Amde	Pre K
2			Lang Arts	
3 4		r ,	0	.3
5				<u></u>
6 7	•	g =	1	7
8				8
7 10				
11		<u> </u>	1	.11
12				

11.17 lixed or separate language usage by teacher and/or aide in the classroom (mar. all that apply)

11.17 12

0-not specified

1-languages are never nixed by either the teacher, aide or the pupil in any one class period; only one language is used.

2-the second language is used exclusively by the teacher, aide and pupils during at least one portion of the school day.

3-the teacher uses one language exclusively within a class period; pupils are allowed to use either native or second language.

- 4-the teacher uses only one language; however, the aide or paraprofessional uses another during the same class period; students may use either.
- 5-the teacher reinforces any conversation initiated by the child through the use of whichever language the child has used at the time.
- 6-constant switching from one language to another by teacher during lesson.
- 7-the teacher uses English and the paraprofessional then translates the same material for N-MIT pupils. 8-other (summarize)

12.0 HETHODS OF SECOND LANGUAGE TEACHING

(liark all that apply; some projects may use a combination of methods)

12.0 / 20

- 1-Audio-lingual habit skills or behavioral approach. Emphasis on communication. Includes contrastive analysis of sounds (units) in both languages by teacher and students, student repitition of tapes and/or fluent teachers' model sentence patterns until responses are automatic. Structural drills and dialogues are systematically presented. Includes direct association between object and word in second language in a sequence of patterns learned in complete sentences. Inductive-generalizations drawn from examples.
 - 2-Transformational-cognitive approach
 Acquiring an understanding of the structural potents or grammatical rules of a language.

Instructional Program Processes Used to Next Objectives

Evaluation Procedures

We will state each objective and then explain how we attempted to meet each one.

Objective 1

To design an instructional program suited to the particular needs of our culturally and linguistically different student.

Our teachers worked with different materials using different methods and approaches to determine what was best suited for our children. They in turn developed some instructional guides for the first grade level to be used during the 1971-72 academic year and future years. Hopefully these guides will prove successful with minimum modification.

Objective 2

To assist our children to understand the history and culture of all ethnic groups represented in the community.

This objective was approached in two different ways. Our classroom discussions brought in the history and culture of the Spanish-American, the Anglo-American and the Indian-American in a very elementary manner. These discussions were then supplemented by films and fieldtrips to museums, Indian Villages and community areas. Persource people in the community were also asked to make different presentations to our children.



Objective 3

To develop pre-service and in-service training of teachers and teacher-aides.

We attempted to meet this objective through conferences, workshops, weekly meetings, school visitations and discussions with other bilingual teachers, and through the use of our audio-visual equipment.

Objective 4

To develop cultural awareness and use community members as resource people.

This objective was approached in the same manner which has been discussed in objective number two above.

Objective 5

To decrease the number of potential drop-outs.

We feel that children with a good self-image are less likely to become dropouts. We attempted to instill in our students a positive self-concept through the use of our Human Development Program. Each child was reached through a language which was meaningful to him and in which he was not threatened. We felt this would be a motivating factor and interest-arousing method to accomplish the objective above. It is not possible to determine any measure of success until future years.



Objective 6

To help students learn subject matter and communicative skills in their native language and a second language.

Subject matter areas were presented in two languages.

Through the LEIR (Language Experiences in Reading) program, and the PLDK (Peabody Language Development Kit) we attempt to develop all communicative skills by using the childs own experiences and giving him an opportunity to express himself in two languages.

Objective 7

To develop a positive self-image by learning about their culture.

Through the use of the Human Development Program we attempt to instill a positive self-image in our students. By exposure to different cultural aspects, our children were able to identify with others as well as with different aspects within their culture.

Objective 8

To help students gain a deeper understanding of knowledge and concepts in English by having the opportunity to hear instruction presented in their native tongue.

We attempted to reach our students in a language which was meaningful to him. Our interest was mainly that of conceptual acquisition and development. Once the concept had been acquired and developed the transfer from one language to another would be simple. By presenting



instruction in the language the child understood, we felt that we were meeting this objective.

Objective 9

To prevent education retardation and develop tools for conceptual growth.

The methods presented in the previous objective were also the means by which this objective was approached.

Objective 10 -

To help students gain a greater knowledge of and acquire more skills in the use of two languages.

The programs discussed in objective number six, the LEIR and PLDK gave our students an opportunity to acquire and develop skills in the use of two languages. They gained knowledge from the teacher and teacher-aide as well as from each other by peer modeling.

Objective 11

To help students become truly bilingual and bicultural.

This objective could not possibly be achieved in a short period of time. However, the use of two languages as the medium for instruction, the exposure to different aspects of culture, the fieldtrips, and the class discussions that are held, are certainly a step by which this objective may be accomplished.



2a-inductive -generative approach: through listening to communication, perhaps of peers, and attempting the new language in situations which call for the student to generate sentences - test his understanding. (the way native language is acquired)

Includes direct association between object, picture or action and word in second language.

2b-deductive - the cognitive code approach: through initial formal study and analysis of grammatical structures, then applying them through examples, i.e. answering questions, or transforming affirmative sentences to negative, declarative to interrogative, active to passive.

Grammar - Translation Method
Formal study of rules of grammar and translation from first language
to second. Emphasis on reading in second language rather than using
it for oral communication.

13.0 DOMINANT AND SECOND LANGUAGE SKILLS SEQUENCE

AL-N* Language Skills Sequence (*Audiolingual Nethod: listening, speaking, reading and writing)

1					1.1			
	Non Eng dom				Eng dom			
students			_	students				
A	in don	В	in	Å	in	dom	В	in
	lang		second		laı	ng		second
			lang					lang

0 = not specified
(Use not applicable (n.a.) if project has no Eng. dom. students)

13.1 Second language listening-speaking skills are learned: 1 concurrently with dominant language listening-speaking skills		_		13.1 IB IIB
2-after a specified level of compe-		n.Mau		C p 16-29
tency achieved in listening-speaki skills in dominant language	ing			-1
3-a specified period of time after		*******		4
listening-speaking skills in domin	nent			
language taught		***********		P-104864
and the second s				•
		•		13.2 IA
13.2 Ali: sequence followed:				IB
Listening-speaking proficiency				IIA
precedes introduction of reading 2-Reading is taught concurrently				IIB
with listening-speaking skills	5-41 a- 4	***		•
3-Learning to read overlaps learning of listening-speaking skills	, '			
4-There is some overlap between	tratinute o			ectual a
learning to read and to write		profession a	•)
13.3 Listening-speaking proficiency determined by:				13.3 IA 1- P4
(1-measure of listening-speaking				77



proficiency

2-informal assessment by teacher

to negative, declarative to interrogative, active to passive.

Grammar - Translation Nethod
Formal study of rules of grammar and translation from first language
to second. Emphasis on reading in second language rather than using
it for oral communication.

13.0 DOMINANT AND SECOND LANGUAGE SKILLS SEQUENCE

AL-N* Language Skills Sequence (*Audiolingual Nethod: listening, speaking, reading and writing)

1			TT	
Non Eng d	En	g dom		
student	S	st	udents	
A in don B	in	A in	dom B	in
lang	second	la	ng	second
_	lang		_	lang

0 = not specified
(Use not applicable (n.a.) if project has no Eng. dom. students)

	-	=	•	
13.1 Second language listening-speaking skills are learned: 1-concurrently with dominant language			13.	IB I
listening-speaking skills 2-after a specified level of compe- tency achieved in listening-speaking	<u> </u>			Cp16-29
skills in dominant language 3-a specified period of time after listening-speaking skills in dominant			******	•
language taught	and and		P. Hillings State	
			13.,	Z IA
13.2 All sequence followed: 1 Listening-speaking proficiency precedes introduction of reading				IB IIA I
2-Reading is taught concurrently with listening-speaking skills 3-Learning to read overlaps learning) man		
of listening-speaking skills 4-There is some overlap between learning to read and to write	descriptions.	derekke dit-tol Bureke dit- sej	8-8-4-5	
13.3 Listening-speaking proficiency determined by: 1-heasure of listening-speaking proficiency 2-informal assessment by teacher		_	13.:	3 IA P42 IB Cp18
13.4 Second language reading skills are learned:	guay cana	Groupouth. C	13.4	IB IIB
1-concurrently with learning to read in dominant language 2-after a specified level of dominant	<u>.</u>		ب <u>ر</u> .	
language reading competence achievement 3-a specified period of time after learning to read in dominant language	the other constants		1444	
(e.g. a specific grade) 4-before learning to read in dominant language	• • •			
-mussuucu- •	• • • • •		•	



4-Academic content taught in the native language is used as the referential content of second language learning (the same concept taught in the native

ERIC Foulded by ERIC

13.6 eading readiness is determined by: 13.6 IA (1)test of reading readiness 2-informal teacher assessment IB NS IIA IIB N 5 13.7 Grade level reading is expected: 13.7 IA NS 1-in first grade 2-in second grade 3-in third grade IIB 4-in fourth grade 5-in fifth grade 6-in sixth grade 7-other (specify) 13.8 Grade level academic achievement (math, science, etc.) in the 13.8 IB SECOND language is expected: 1)in the first grade Z-second grade 3-third grade 4-fourth grade 5-fifth grade 6-sixth grade 7-other (specify) 14.0 INTEGRATION OF SECOND LANGUAGE LEARNING WITH OTHER LEARNING: (mark all that apply) II = E $_{\perp} = N-E$ dom dom students students 1-Second language learning is only a separate subject for English-speaking students; the second language is not used as a medium of instruction for other subjects. 2-Second language learning is both a separate subject and also a medium of instruction for other subjects. 3-Second language learning is always integrated with the learning of course content (such as social studies) or as a medium of cognitive development. 4-4-ademic content taught in the native language is used as the referential content of second language learning (the same concept taught in the native language is taught in the second language). 5-Different academic content is taught in the second language from that which is taught in the native language. ()-not specified Audit states (91) that subject matter is usually presented in English with Spanish used for clarifications or reinforcement.

3

ERIC

15.0 TREATMENT OF CHILD'S LANGUAGE:		B 2nd	Eng. d studen	lom.	IB N S IIA N S IIB
The child's language is respected. It is not corrected, rather, all of the child's speech is accepted. However, the teacher provides a model of the standard language aiming toward child's eventual control of the standard form.		a na	gradual ma		
2-The child's language is corrected the teacher points out errors and demonstrates the standard form.			turk stinct	bassani	
3-Other (specify)	-		*****	Graderalia ♥	
O-Not specified	*****	-	****	B0, -0-4	
16.0 HATERIALS					
2-Basal readers 3-Dialect readers 4-Experience charts (stories dictated by children) Van Aller approach 16.2 If some reading material is in the child's dialect, indicate how long it is used:	IA Y		II		See Xerox attached 14 a Cp46
4-Beyond Grade 3 0-not specified			_	, \	
16.3 The following are techniques and m		indicate used for			learning:
O-none specified 1-pattern drills 2-dialog memorization 3-choral repetition 4-songs 5-programmed instruction 6-stories read to children AUDIO VISUAL AIDES 7-films, filmstrips 8-flannel or magnetic soards 9-realia, graphic displays 10-records, tapes 11-instening centers 12-multi-media approach Experiential:		I KIN KKKKI I KKKI I KKI I KKKI I KKKI I KKI	\$	I KI KIKIKE	

	aiming toward child's eventual control of the standard form.	_		*******	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
	2-The child's language is correcte the teacher points out errors an demonstrates the standard form.	d- d	3 110000-0	Quarth William B		
	3-Other (specify)	0x40x40/0	agedy-40-44		though patrice 4	
	0-Not specified	10-10-100-10	******		\$100.00 PM	
16.	O MATERIALS					
16.	1 Reading Materials-Types Reading Materials are: (mark all to the standard of	1 IA		II	IB	_
(2-Basal readers	<u> </u>			<u> </u>	Xerox
	3-Dialect readers	********		****	,	attached 14 a
16.	dictated by children) Van Aller approach 2 If some reading material is in the child's dialect, indicate how	<u> </u>	Ž	<u> </u>	¥	Cp 46
	long it is used: 1-Grade 1 16. 2-Grade 2 3-Grade 3 4-Beyond Grade 3 0-not specified	2 IA NA	24 4	II	\	
16,	.3 The following are techniques and	(Please incomaterials us				arning:
16.	3 The following are techniques and 0-none specified	materials us	ed for			arning:
16.	0-none specified 1-pattern drills	materials us	ed for		anguage le	arning:
16.	0-none specified 1-pattern drills 2-dialog memorization 3-choral repetition	materials us	ed for		anguage le	arning:
16.	O-none specified 1-pattern drills O-dialog memorization C-doral repetition A-songs	materials us	ed for		anguage le	arning:
16.	9-none specified 1-pattern drills 2-dialog memorization 3-choral repetition 4-songs 5-programmed instruction	materials us	ed for		anguage le	
16.	O-none specified 1-pattern drills 2-dialog memorization 3-choral repetition 4-songs 5-programmed instruction 6-stories read to children AUDIO VISUAL AIDES	materials us	sed for		anguage le	arning:
16.	O-none specified 1-pattern drills O-dialog memorization 3-choral repetition 4-songs 5-programmed instruction 6-stories read to children AUDIO VISUAL AIDES 7-films, filmstrips	materials us	sed for		anguage le	
16.	O-none specified 1-pattern drills 2-dialog memorization 3-choral repetition 4-songs 5-programmed instruction 6-stories read to children AUDIO VISUAL AIDES 7-films, filmstrips 8-flannel or magnetic boards	materials us	sed for		anguage le	
16.	O-none specified 1-pattern drills O-dialog memorization 3-choral repetition 4-songs 5-programmed instruction 6-stories read to children AUDIO VISUAL AIDES 7-films, filmstrips	materials us	sed for		anguage le	
16.	O-none specified 1-pattern drills 2-dialog memorization 3-choral repetition 4-songs 5-programmed instruction 6-stories read to children AUDIO VISUAL AIDES 7-films, filmstrips 8-flannel or magnetic woards 9-realia, graphic displays 10-records, tapes 11-listening centers	materials us	sed for		anguage le	
16.	O-none specified 1-pattern drills 2-dialog memorization 3-choral repetition 4-songs 5-programmed instruction 6-stories read to children AUDIO VISUAL AIDES 7-films, filmstrips 8-flannel or magnetic woards 9-realia, graphic displays 10-records, tapes 11-listening centers 12-multi-media approach Experiential:	materials us	ed for			
16.	O-none specified 1-pattern drills 2-dialog memorization 3-choral repetition 4-songs 5-programmed instruction 6-stories read to children AUDIO VISUAL AIDES 7-films, filmstrips 8-flannel or magnetic woards 9-realia, graphic displays 10-records, tapes 11-listening centers 12-multi-media approach Experiential: 13-role playing	materials us	sed for		anguage le	
16.	O-none specified 1-pattern drills 2-dialog memorization 3-choral repetition 4-songs 5-programmed instruction 6-stories read to children AUDIO VISUAL AIDES 7-films, filmstrips 8-flannel or magnetic boards 9-realia, graphic displays 10-records, tapes 11-intering centers 12-multi-media approach Experiential: 13-role playing 12-puppetry	materials us	sed for		anguage le	
16.	O-none specified 1-pattern drills 2-dialog memorization 3-choral repetition 4-songs 5-programmed instruction 6-stories read to children AUDIO VISUAL AIDES 7-films, filmstrips 8-flannel or magnetic woards 9-realia, graphic displays 10-records, tapes 11-listening centers 12-multi-media approach Experiential: 13-role playing	materials us	sed for		anguage le	
16.	O-none specified 1-pattern drills 2-dialog memorization 3-choral repetition 4-songs 5-programmed instruction 6-stories read to children AUDIO VISUAL AIDES 7-films, filmstrips 8-flannel or magnetic poards 9-realia, graphic displays 10-records, tapes 11-listening centers 12-multi-media approach Experiential: 13-role playing 12-puppetry 15-experience charts 16-primary typewriter 17-learning through direct experience	materials us	sed for		anguage le	
16. 6 - 8 23	O-none specified 1-pattern drills 2-dialog memorization 3-choral repetition 4-songs 5-programmed instruction 6-stories read to children AUDIO VISUAL AIDES 7-films, filmstrips 8-flannel or magnetic poards 9-realia, graphic displays 10-records, tapes 11-intering centers 12-multi-media approach Experiential: 13-role playing 12-puppetry 13-experience charts 16-primary typewriter 17-learning through direct experience with materials e.g. Montessori	materials us	sed for		anguage le	
د 48,23	O-none specified 1-pattern drills 2-dialog memorization 3-choral repetition 4-songs 5-programed instruction 6-stories read to children AUDIO VISUAL AIDES 7-films, filmstrips 8-flannel or magnetic woards 9-realia, graphic displays 10-records, tapes 11-intering centers 12-multi-media approach Experiential: 13-role playing 12-puppetry 13-experience charts 16-primary typewriter 17-learning through direct experience with materials e.g. Montessori 18-activity centers-chosen by chil	materials us	sed for		anguage le	
د ۴,23	O-none specified 1-pattern drills 2-dialog memorization 3-choral repetition 4-songs 5-programed instruction 6-stories read to children AUDIO VISUAL AIDES 7-films, filmstrips 8-flannel or magnetic woards 9-realia, graphic displays 10-records, tapes 11-intering centers 12-multi-media approach Experiential: 13-role playing 12-puppetry 13-experience charts 16-primary typewriter 17-learning through direct experience with materials e.g. Montessori 18-activity centers-chosen by chil 19-other (specify) Learning outside the class	materials us	sed for		anguage le	
4 م ع	O-none specified 1-pattern drills 2-dialog memorization 3-choral repetition 4-songs 5-programed instruction 6-stories read to children AUDIO VISUAL AIDES 7-films, filmstrips 8-flannel or magnetic woards 9-realia, graphic displays 10-records, tapes 11-listening centers 12-multi-media approach Experiential: 13-role playing 12-puppetry 13-experience charts 16-primary typewriter 17-learning through direct experience with materials e.g. Montessori 18-activity centers-chosen by chil 19-other (specify) Learning outside the class 20-field trips	materials us	sed for		anguage le	
د ۴,23	O-none specified 1-pattern drills 2-dialog memorization 3-choral repetition 4-songs 5-programed instruction 6-stories read to children AUDIO VISUAL AIDES 7-films, filmstrips 8-flannel or magnetic woards 9-realia, graphic displays 10-records, tapes 11-intering centers 12-multi-media approach Experiential: 13-role playing 12-puppetry 13-experience charts 16-primary typewriter 17-learning through direct experience with materials e.g. Montessori 18-activity centers-chosen by chil 19-other (specify) Learning outside the class	materials us	sed for		anguage le	



16.3

PROGRAMMED MATERIALS

- 1. George Peabody Kit
- 2. Human Development Program
- 3. Distar SRA (Math and Reading)
- 4. Man in Action Series (Social Studies)
- 5. Louise Lancaster Program
- 6. George Van Allan
- 7. Por El Mundo Del Cuento Y La Aventura
 - a. Mis Juegos Y Cuentos
 - b. A Jugar Y a Gozar
 - c. A La Escuela
 - d. Amigos De Aquí Y De Allá
- 8. Publicaciones Infantiles Y Juveniles (Eva)
- 9. Oral Language Program
- 10. DICTIONARIES
 - a. Pequeño Larousse Ilustrado (Gessler Co.)
 - b. Diccionario de Antónimos Y Sinónimos (Gessler Co.)
 - c. Mi Primer Larousse en Colores (Gessler Co.)

1146

AUDIO VISUAL MATERIALS Spanish and English Version

- 1. Flannel board
- 2. Magnetic board
- 3. Instructo Units:

Foreign Language #301 - The Family

Foreign Language #302 - The Classroom

Foreign Language #304 - Christmas

Foreign Language #305 - Parts of the Body

Foreign Language #306 - Farm Animals

Instructo #150 - Community Helpers

Instructo #510 - Magnetic ABC

Instructo #575 - Magnetic Pets

14 C

RECORDS - SPANISH AND ENGLISH VERSIONS

Children Stories - Follett Publishing Company

La Vaca Curiosa - Follett Publishing Company

Nadie Le Hace Caso a Andrés - Follett Publishing Company

Demasiados perros - Follett Publishing Company

El Joyo del Cerro - Follett Publishing Company

Elena La Ballena - Follett Publishing Company

Tulita La Patita - Follett Publishing Company

Colección Campanilla - by Gilbert Delahaye Holt, Rinehart and Winston Company

Imok, el niño esquimal

Pico, el patito presumido

Martita en el circo

Martita ama del casa

El pollito inesperado

Martita en la montaña

Dodino el burrito

Martita va de viaje

Martita en el zoológico

Martita va a la escuela

Mother Goose on the Rio Grande

Horas encantadas - National Textbook Corporation

Bedtime Stories in Spanish - National Textbook Corporation

Zoo Risa - Libro Primero - McNally and Loftin Publishers

Books of the World - McNally and Loftin Publishers

BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. English as a Second Language in Elementary Schools, Background and Text Materials. Washington, D.C.: Center for Applied Linguistics, ESOL Program, October 1967. 8pp.

This is an annotated bibliography of publications in this field for pre-school and elementary school. The two sections present background materials and lists of texts for specific age and learning levels. Particularly valuable materials are listed for teaching Spanish-speaking children.

2. English Sequential Pattern Drills for Spanish Speaking Students. Tucson: Tucson Public Schools. 175pp.
ED 002 517 MF-\$1.00 HC-\$7.00

Presents English sequential pattern drills for teaching English grammar to Spanish speaking students. Covers the four major form-classes of words: noun, verb, adjective, adverb. Includes teachers' notes, students' lists, and worksheets for each section. Teachers' notes contain suggestions for relating grammatical rules of English to those in Spanish.

3. The Linguistic Approach in Teaching English as a Second Language. El Paso, Texas: El Paso Public Schools, January 1965. 125pp
ED 001 102 MF-\$0.75 HC-\$5.00

Initially presents a brief philosophy of the program, including an examination of the problem, a description of the characteristics of both the non-English speaking child and his teacher, and a discussion of the use of phonics in speech. The balance of the publication is used to make an excellent presentation of the details of a 20-unit program to begin the child in his study of English.

4. Planning for Non-English Speaking Pupils. Miami: Dade County Public Schools, 1963. 34pp.
ED 002 529 MF-\$0.25 HC-\$1.36

Presenting the necessary guidelines for the development of an adequate bilingual program, this document includes a summary of the guiding principles underlying the program, the details of the administration of the program, and techniques used in teaching the program. This publication would be useful when establishing an educational program for non-English speaking students.

5. Preschool Instructional Program for Non-English Speaking Children. Austin: Texas Education Agency, March 1964. 137pp.
ED 001 091 MF-\$0.75 HC-\$5.48

Presents all the details of the program, including its philosophy, planning for instruction, content, method used, instructional aids and activities, and a method of evaluation. Also presents a detailed description of the English sound system. This should be a most useful publication.

6. Reading Supplement to Curriculum Guide for Texas Migratory Children. Austin: Texas Education Agency, 1963. 74pp.
ED 002 631 MF-\$0.50 HC-\$2.96

A guide to program planning, instruction, testing, and diversified record keeping. Includes an excellent flow chart of skills in English language arts for grades 1 through 6, a detailed list of reading skills, a list of recommended books, and a basic word list. There is a wealth of useful material in this publication for the reading teacher of Mexican-American agricultural migrants.

7. AMSDEN, Constance. A Reading Program for Mexican American Children. First Interim Report. Los Angeles: California State College, 1966. 157pp. ED 010 532 MF-\$0.75 HC-\$6.28

Explains a program in beginning reading, developed for Mexican-Americans in an East Los Angeles school. The purposes of the program were to develop oral language skills and to strengthen Mexican-American traditional cultural values. The report explains the details of the program, and it concludes that for assured academic progress and to develop a sense of self esteem in these children, there must be continued emphasis on parent participation, individualized instruction, self-instruction, and cultural awareness.

8. BARRETT, Donald N. and Julian Samora. The Movement of Spanish Youth from Rural to Urban Settings. Washington, D.C.: National Committee for Children and Youth, September 1963. 20pp. ED 002 539 MF-\$0.25 HC-\$.80

A comparatively brief but informative document which contributes pertinent information about the needs of the Spanish American in the Southwest. It presents useful demographic, historical, and cultural background factors, in addition to such institutional and situational patterns as housing, family life, and educational and economic participation in the life of the area. Also presented are a number of recommendations to improve the general situation of the Spanish youth.

9. BERLITZ. Spanish for Children. New York: Grosset and Dunlap, 1959.

Presents the two nursery rhymes, "Three Bears" and "Little Red Riding Hood," in Spanish and English. The Spanish is presented in bold type, with the English below it. An excellent book for beginning students.

10. BRENGLEMAN, Fredrick H. and Joan Manning. A Linguistic Approach to the Teaching of English as a Foreign Language to Kindergarten Pupils whose Primary Language is Spanish. (Unpublished Manuscript. Fresno State College, 1964), 246pp.

ED 010 034 MF-\$1.00 HC-\$9.84

This study presents the details of a linguistically oriented program in English, designed for kindergarten children with Spanish speaking backgrounds. The primary aim of the program was to determine whether it could noticeably speed up pupil control of English; the results presented here are very favorable.

14 F

11. BUMPASS, Faye L. <u>Teaching Young Students English as a Foreign Language</u>. New York: American Book Company, 1963. 198pp.

This book synthesizes the practical aspects of linguistics and educational psychology as they apply to teaching foreign languages on the elementary level. It is presented in non-technical language so that teachers with limited training are able to make use of it. The auraloral method of teaching is explained, audio-visual materials are thoroughly discussed, the principles of lesson planning and teacher self-evaluation are detailed, and a bibliography is included. This book would be a valuable source of information for all teachers of English as a foreign language.

12. CLINE, M., Jr. "A-V Aids for Spanish-Speaking Pupils," Reading and Inquiry: Proceedings of the International Reading Association, 10, 1965. pp270-271.

Article compares reading test scores from 151 experimental and 138 control, Spanish-English speaking children. Tests were given during fourth and fifth grades before and after the experimental groups received an audio-visual program which concentrated on vocabulary and reading comprehension.

Program Research. 1966-67 Preliminary Report. Alcalde: Youth Concerts of New Mexico, Inc., 1967. 91pp.

ED 012 643 MF-\$0.50 HC-\$3.64

Describes a truly exemplary program in which performing artists have been brought to secondary and elementary schools in the more remote areas of New Mexico, where no contact has previously been made with live performers. The individual programs are described in detail, and a complete presentation is made of the methods used to determine their effectiveness with children. This document should be of interest and value to anyone interested in establishing such a program or simply interested in education of children in remote areas.

14. CROFT, Kenneth. Reading and Word Study: For Students of English as a Second Language. Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey: Prentice-Hall, 1960.

Book written for use of students learning English as a second language, who have achieved an English vocabulary of at least 2000 words. It contains twenty-four American short stories, adapted for students learning English, and comprehension exercises with each story. There are also materials on English word classification, word formation, and recognition of word classes.

15. FORBES, Jack D. Mexican-Americans, A Handbook for Educators. Berkeley: Far West Laboratory for Educational Research and Development, 1967. 41 pp. ED 013 164 MF-\$0.25 HC-\$1.64

This excellent handbook provides the teacher of the Mexican-American with an opportunity to begin to acquire insights into the background of Mexican culture and thinking. It also presents sixteen useful suggestions for the teacher and administrator. An excellent bibliography and list of audio-visual materials is included. This book should be in the library of every teacher of Mexican-Americans.

16. HARTER, Helen. English is Fun. Tempe: Helen Harter, Box 575, 1962. pp82.

This handbook was prepared for teachers of the non-English speaking beginner. It contains a planned series of rhymes, songs, games, and other aids for establishing an initial, meaningful vocabulary. The book is very well done, and includes many useful ideas.

17. HENDERSON, Ronald W. Environmental Stimulation and Intellectual Development of Mexican-American Children-An Exploratory Project. Tucson: University of Arizona, 1966. 242pp.

ED 010 587 MF-\$1.00 HC-\$9.68

This report details a study of the relationship between specific environmental factors and the development of intellectual abilities of Mexican-Americans. The study sample was made up of 80 first-graders and their families, divided into either high potential or low potential groups, using methods explained in the study. The results of comparisons between the groups show that the children in the high potential group came from family backgrounds having a greater number of stimulating experiences and that they scored significantly higher on vocabulary tests in both English and Spanish. This study should be of both interest and value to anyone interested in guidance of Mexican-American children.

18. HORN, Thomas D. A Study of the Effects of Intensive Oral-Aural English
Language Instruction, Oral-Aural Spanish Language Instruction, and Non-OralAural Instruction on Reading Readiness in Grade One. Austin: University
of Texas, 1966. 115pp.
ED 010 048 MF-\$0.50 HC-\$4.60

Assessment is made of three methods for developing reading readiness in Spanish-speaking first graders. Daily one hour science instruction was conducted in English with ALM techniques; in Spanish with ALM techniques, or in standard English as the medium of instruction. Although the study determines that additional research is clearly needed, the document and program are worthy of examination.

19. LAMBERTS, J. J. and others. <u>Teaching English as a Second Language</u>. Phoenix: Arizona State Department of Public Instruction, 1962. 61pp.

This excellent publication is essentially a handbook-for teachers of English as a second language. It is not meant to be a classroom guide, though there is ample material that can be converted to classroom use, but rather it contains a series of articles which also supply background understanding. This would be a valuable addition to the library of any language teacher, but particularly for the teacher whose students are learning English as a second language.

14 h

20. LANCASTER, Louise. Introducing English, An Oral Pre-Reading Program for Spanish-Speaking Primary Pup: 1s. Boston: Houghton Mifflin Company, 1966.

* \$ 28-unit oral program is a guide for teachers of Spanish-speaking tour- to six-year-old children. The material is well presented and is based on recent linguistic research and a contrastive analysis of Spanish and English. The text provides step-by-step directions for the teacher, so that a knowledge of linguistics by the teacher is not required. Also designed to be used are 316 picture cards and a set of 35 duplicating masters.

21. LEIGHTON, Roby E. Bicultural Linguistic Concepts in Education. Tucson: University of Arizona, 1964.

A handbook of suggestions for the administrator, instructor, and guidance counselor interested in the problems of the culturally different student.

22. LEON-PORTILLA, Miguel. Aztec Thought and Culture. Norman: University of Oklahoma Press, 1963. 104pp.

A book which provides an outstanding background about today's Mexican-American. It should be required reading for a true understanding of the Mexican heritage.

23. LeROY, W. J. and Cyrena B. Dinsmore. English Speaking (Workbook.) Albuquerque: Home Education Livelihood Program, 1967. 104pp.

This excellent publication was written solely to introduce English oral and writing skills to adult basic education classes. It is presented in the form of a workbook and is in three units - singulars, plurals, and the alphabet - with simple questions, all accompanied by dozens of appropriate drawings. The teacher's notes include a vocabulary of the 1000 most-used words, an excellent discussion of troublesome English sounds for Spanish-speaking adults, and suggestions and examples of ways to overcome speech problems.

24. MADSEN, William. Mexican-Americans of South Texas. New York: Holt, Rine-hart, and Winston, 1965. 112pp.

This book, in the field of cultural anthropology, is set in one county on the Mexican border, describing the sociocultural conditions of the Mexican-Americans living there today, and thus providing aid in determining the educational needs of these people. It is very well done and should be available to anyone working with Mexican-Americans. The book effectively utilizes Mexican-American cultural characteristics to explain the structure of culture and culture as a condition of the person.

25. MANUEL, Herschel T. Spanish-Speaking Children of the Southwest... Their Education and Welfare. Austin: University of Texas Press, 1965. 216pp.

This book develops the background and the bases of current problems of Spanish-speaking children of the Southwest. It should be of great value those who are professionally interested in this group, particularly in helping to determine their immediate needs.



26. MANUEL, Herschel T. Tests of General Ability and Reading, Inter-American Series. Austin: Guidance Testing Associates, 1963.

> A revised series of tests of General Ability and Reading, designed for Spanish-speaking children who are just beginning the work of the first grade. There are five levels of the former and four levels of the latter, plus two forms of each level. There is also a pretest, constructed for use in teaching test procedures before the test is administered. Tentative norms are available.

27. McCANNE, Roy. A Study of Approaches to First Grade English Reading Instruction for Children from Spanish Speaking Homes. Denver: Colorado State Department of Education, 1966. 270pp. ED 010 380 MF-\$1.25 HC-\$10.80

> Details the experiment and analyzes the scores of a battery of tests given to three groups of Spanish-speaking first graders before and after 140 days of instruction in beginning English, using one of three approaches: basal reading, second language reading, or language experience reading. Two hundred and ninty-four children were involved in the experiment, which reached tentative conclusions. A summary appears in The Reading Teacher, Vol. 19, 1966 pp670-675.

28. McGRATH, G. D. Investigation of Mental Retardation and Pseudo-Mental Retardation in Relation to Bilingual Sub-Cultural Factors. Tempe: Arizona State University, College of Education, 1960. 363pp. ED 002 810 MF-\$1.50 HC-\$14.52

> An extensive study of Southwestern children, designed to determine the role of cultural influence as a factor in the learning processes of children. A document that offers insights for teachers of Mexican-American children that often are not thoroughly understood in daily association. Recommended to both the inexperienced and the experienced teacher.

Readings for Understanding Southwestern Culture. Alamosa, Colorado: Adams State College, 1963. 79pp.

> A collection of talks and articles which are intended to enhance the reader's understanding of why people of the Southwest are what they are. Should enhance the understandings of educators planning programs for Mexican-Americans.

, Neil Sherman, and Roy McCanne. Providing Opportunities for Dis-30. advantaged Children. Denver: Colorado State Department of Education, 1964. 85pp.

ED 001 077 MF-\$0.50 HC-\$3.40

A guide to developing a curriculum for the disadvantaged: migrants, culturally disadvantaged, lingually disadvantaged, culturally deprived, and educationally disadvantaged. Particular attention is given to establishing, for the educator, an understanding and awareness of culture and cultural differences.

31. ROJAS, Pauline and others. Miami Linguistic Readers. Boston: D. C. Heath and Company, 1966.

This series of readers, for use in instructing bilingual students, represents an attempt to join the findings of linguistic science with the pedagogical practices of conventional reading programs. Their purpose is to have children develop an understanding of English in addition to correctly speaking, reading, and writing the language. Much dramatization is utilized, limited only by the creativity of the teacher. The books represent a continuous progress program set up on 3 levels.

32. SCOTT, Louise Binder. Talking Time. St. Louis: Webster Publishing Company.

An excellent book for teachers of bilingual students. It is filled with materials and suggestions for encouraging first graders to talk.

33. SIZEMORE, Mamie. Teaching Reading to the Bilingual Child, 1963. Phoenix: Arizona State Department of Public Instruction, 1963. 57 pp.

This publication is an excellent guide for teaching reading to the bilingual child. Not only does Mrs. Sizemore present the technicalities of reading itself, but she also devotes time to explaining the importance and use of having an adequate knowledge of the students' cultural backgrounds. The book is aimed at elementary teachers, but there is also a large section devoted to upper grade bilingual students, and even some space for pre-school bilinguals and their reading readiness problem. The content could prove to be most helpful for many teachers.

34. SMOKER, David. Research and Activity in the Language Arts for the Pre-Primary/Primary Culturally Diverse Non-English Speaking Child. Albuquerque: Southwestern Cooperative Educational Laboratory, Inc., 1967. 50pp. RC 002 135 (Available NCR, May, 1968) MF-\$0.25 HC-\$2.00

This publication contains summaries of 10 programs and research activities. While the information is not presented in great detail, it does indicate what is being done and where further information can be obtained.

35. STEMMER, Anne. "An Experimental Approach to the Teaching of Oral Language and Reading," <u>Harvard Educational Review</u>, Vol. 36, No. 1, Winter 1966. pp42-59.

Presents a study of disadvantaged Spanish-speaking children having a high incidence of reading failure from which a method of developing and interrelating oral language and reading behaviors was devised, utilizing a self-concept program and a science program.

14 K

36. STOCKER, Joseph. The <u>Invisible Minority...Pero No Vencibles</u>. Washington, D. C.: National Education Association, Department of Rural Education, 1966. 50pp.

This report initially presents a beief survey of the background of the Mexican American in the five-state Southwest area and the problems he encounters, followed by details of some clearly superior, even inspiring, innovative programs for Spanish-speaking children. The survey team was comprised mainly of educators from the Tucson Public Schools and the Univeristy of Arizona, and was called the NEA - Tucson Survey on the Teaching of Spanish to the Spanish speaking. The programs are truly remarkable and should provide guidance to others working in the same area.

37. WILKIN, William W. The Education of Children From Spanish Backgrounds. (Unpublished Thesis. University of Denver, 1955).

Develops guiding principles for teachers in schools where Spanish bilingual home background has an effect on the child's learning. Investigates the character of the minority community and describes established practices and techniques that will benefit teachers in this situation. Discusses means to determine the nature of the child's environment that affects his learning.

38. YOES, Deck, Jr. "Reading Problems for Mexican-American Children of Texas."

The Reading Teacher, Vol. 20, January 1967. pp313-318.

Reviews ESEA Title I Projects in Texas which are designed to strengthen instruction in reading for Mexican-American children.

39. -ZINTZ, Miles V. Education Across Cultures. Dubuque: William C. Brown Co., Inc., 1963. 412pp.

An informative book prompted primarily by the author's desire to translate research findings into useful and organized form for practitioners' daily use. Utilizing Spanish-American and Indian populations for exemplary samplings and illustrations, the content covers the range of educating minority group children from the understanding of environmental influences of child and teacher to problems of meting educational needs in curriculum. The book is a resource guide useful to every teacher of minority group children.

•				*	.6	
16.4	The sources of Non-English ma (mark all that apply) 0-not specified	aterials and	textbooks a	re:	16.4	3, 9, 11
	1-are written by native speal 2-commercially prepared and p N-E is the native language	oublished in		he re	.•	
(3-developed by the project's 4-developed by the staff of a 5-developed in conjenction wi	own bilingu nother bili	ngual project	t (specify wh	ich)	
	6-developed by or with member 7-are culturally appropriate (specify how this is determ	s of N-EMT for N-E cul	community	. ·		
	Sare cross cultural Scommercially prepared and p	oublished in	the U.S.			
	10-are translations of U.S. to are coordinated with mater curriculum		n the regular	r subject		•
	12-other (specify)					;
16.5	The specific bilingual/bicult component are: 0-not specified	ural materi	als used in t	the language	16.5	
	1-xerox attached-page and doc	ument Xtr	OX	attached	includ	les
17.0	STUDENT GROUPING	140	l-K	annotated 39 books	biblic	ography of
17.1	Student grouping; mixed or se groups: (mark all that apply) 0-not specified	parated int	o dominant la	anguage	17.1	1 Peducuti
	Pupils of both linguistic gro 1 always mixed for all learni	ng				
	2-mixed for language learning 3-mixed for some academic sub		næ			
	4-mixed for non-academic lear	ning; art,	music, gym, h	nealth		
	5-separated for native and se dominant language groups	cond langua	ge learning i	into		
	6-separated for most academic language groups 7-never mixed for language or		-			
n,	8-other (specify) a (no IT students)			•		
	0-not specified			B Less tha	17.2 n ½ the	
	1-total class 2-unall groups (specify size)	-				
	3-individual instruction	الاستان المالية	, en sidert	Grades and anti-order controller		
	Criteria for grouping:	N 77	Students	TT. n. ,		
		Non Eng dom	II Eng dom EIT	HlEng dom		c. 221, 20 d
	1-by age 2-by natiye language			************	NOT	grouped
	3-by dominant language		***	\$		•
	4-by language proficiency (ex. level of reading shill)	SASSING A POINT	•		•
	n.a. not applicable (no E.dom/NEMT)	NA	-			

ERIC

18.0 TUTORING

#8.1 Student Tutoring is: (mark all that apply)

18.1 NONE

```
(specify how this is determined)
      are cross cultural
    Geommercially prepared and published in the U.S.
     10-are translations of U.S. texts
    (11/are coordinated with materials used in the regular subject
        curriculum
     12-other (specify)
16.5 The specific bilingual/bicultural materials used in the language 16.5_
     component are:
     0-not specified
     1-xerox attuched-page and document Xtrox attached includes
                                       14a - K annotated bibliography of 39 books concerning biling.
17.0 STUDENT CROUPING
17.1 Student grouping; mixed or separated into dominant language
     groups: (mark all that apply)
     0-not specified
     Pupils of both linguistic groups are:
    (1) always mixed for all learning
     2-mixed for language learning
     3-mixed for some academic subject learning
     4-mixed for non-academic learning; art, music, gym, health
     5-separated for native and second language learning into
       dominant language groups
     .6-separated for most academic subject learning into dominant
      language groups
     7-never mixed for language or other academic learning
   8-other (specify)
n.a. - (no IT students)
17.2 Students are grouped for language instruction:
     (mark all that apply) A-more than \frac{1}{2} the time B Less than \frac{1}{2} the time
     0-not specified
     1-total class
     2-small groups (specify size)
     3-individual instruction
                                              Students
17.3 Criteria for grouping:
     0-not specified
                                                          · Hleng dom
                                              II Eng dom
                                I Non Eng
                                                                       not grouped
                                                  EMT
                                                              NEIT
                                    dom
     1-by age
     2-by native language
     3-by dominant language
     4-by language proficiency
       (ex. level of reading skill)
     n.a. not applicable
                                   NA
         (no E.dom/NEMT)
18.0 TUTORING
                                                                       18.1 NONE
751 Student Tutoring is: (mark all that apply)
          no-not mentioned
           0-type is not specified
           1-inter-ethnic (M-Eff student tutors Eff students)
           2-intra-ethnic (N-EMT student tutors N-EMT)
           3-done by older children (cross age)
           4-done by peers (same age)
           5-other (specify)
18.2 Paraprofessionals or aides give tutoring or instruction as follows:
           Q-area not specified
          Ainter-ethnic (N-EN aide tutors EN student)
          2-in the acqusition of native language shills
           3) in the acqusition of second language skills
          (4-)in other academic subjects
```

ERIC

page 16

18.3 Parent tutoring: (mark all that apply)
no-not mentioned

18.3 none

0-type not specified

1-inter-ethnic parent tutoring is used 2-intra-ethnic parent tutoring is used

Parents are trained to become tutors for their children:

3-in the home by a home-visiting teacher

4-in an adult education component

5-in school through observation and guidance of teacher 6-as parent volunteers who tutor during the school day 7-materials are provided for use in home by parents 8-other (specify)

19.0 CURRICULUM PATTERNS

19.0 4, 8

The stated curriculum pattern of the bilingual project:

1-Except for inclusion of N-EMT instruction the curriculum is otherwise typical or regular, for this state.

There are other modifications whithin the curriculum of the bilingual program which differ from traditional, typical curriculum such as: (mark all that apply)

2-a non-graded classroom: pupils of different ages are grouped together during part of the school day

3-flexible or modular scheduling

4 small group instruction 5-individualized learning

6-open classroom

7-guided discovery and inquiry

8 curriculum which is both child and subject-centered

9-others (specify)

10-if the program includes activities which complement experiences children encounter in the home, community and through mass media i.e. TV, describe below:

20.0 COGNITIVE DEVELOPMENT

20.1 Cognitive development in early childhood grades is fostered through:
0-method not mentioned

structured envirionment rich with materials child can manipulate

order, compare, match for perceptual-motor development 2-hon-verbal materials, such as Montessori materials from which

children can learn sensory discrimination, matching, seriation, counting, addition, subtraction

3-habeling and discussion of concepts related to time, space, distance, position

Labeling and grouping actual objects to learn classification; grouping objects with common attributes and labeling their

attributes (i.e. colors, sizes)

direct experience of processes of science through discovery, using materials rather than text; active experimentation by child with teacher's

guidance rather than tacher demonstration.

direct experience of math through discovery rather than instruction

other (specify or xerox) p. no. and document:



19.0 CURRICULUM PATTERNS

19.0 4, 8

The stated curriculum pattern of the bilingual project:

1-Except for inclusion of N-EMT instruction the curriculum is otherwise typical or regular, for this state.

There are other modifications whithin the curriculum of the bilingual program which differ from traditional, typical curriculum such as: (mark all that apply)

2-a non-graded classroom: pupils of different ages are grouped together during part of the school day

3-flexible or modular scheduling

4 small group instruction 5-individualized learning

6-open classroom

7-guided discovery and inquiry

(8-a curriculum which is both child and subject-centered 9-others (specify)

10-if the program includes activities which complement experiences children encounter in the home, community and through mass media i.e. TV. describe below:

20.0 COGNITIVE DEVELOPMENT

20.1 Cognitive development in early childhood grades is fostered through: O-method not mentioned (1) structured envirionment rich with materials child can manipulate order, compare, match for perceptual-motor development (2-hon-verbal materials, such as Montessori materials from which children can learn sensory discrimination, matching, seriation, counting, addition, subtraction (3-) labeling and discussion of concepts related to time, space, distance, position 4) labeling and grouping actual objects to learn classification; grouping objects with common attributes and labeling their attributes (i.e. colors, sizes) (5-direct experience of processes of science through discovery, using materials rather than text; active experimentation by child with teacher's guidance rather than tacher deconstration.

direct experience of math through discovery rather than instruction 7-other (specify or xerox) p. no. and document:

20.2 Cognitive development in later grades (grade 4 and, above)
0-method not mentioned
1-specify or xerox p. no. and document
n.a.-no grade 4 or later grades

and na



Cp 27

Stated methods of project compenent expected to increase self-esteem: 2, 3, 5, 12, no-self-esteem not mentioned as an objective

O-self-esteem is an objective but methods not specified

Teacher encourages pupil to verbally express his feelings:

_through role-playing

2-puppetry

Janguage-experience approach: students dictate stories from their own experience Van Allen approach

teacher accepts, aclmowledges ideas and feelings

5-teacher encourages non-verbal expression of child's feelings through painting, music, dancing

6-teacher provides experiences in which the various ways that children act are accepted by the teacher; their actions are discussed and the children are encouraged not to make fun of "different" ways

(7-)teacher provides experiences leading to competency and

8-teacher provides experiences where occasional failure is acknowledged as part of everyone's experience; second attempts are encouraged

Q)other (specify) (xerox or summarize) document page π Human Development Program see KEROX 116-110 Teacher provides experiences in pupil self-direction and acceptance of

responsibility, such as:

10-pupils act as tutors for other pupils

11-puils have some options in choice of curriculum

12 pupils choose activities from a variety of interest centers 13-older puils participate in curriculum planning and/or development

14-pupils write a bilingual newspaper for dissemination to the community

22.0 LEARNING STRATEGIES enable child to identify with others. 22.0

1-The project mentions the following specific learning strategies as important for reaching a particular ethno-linguistic group: (specify or xerox) Document and Page no. Example: Navajo children resist participation in an authoritarian, traditional classroom. An open classroom where teacher participates rather than directs all activities and students or groups of students initiate activites, move about freely or sit in a circle or horseshoe rather than sit in rows, has been found more effective.

I The building up of self concept by:

a-accepting the child's home language and culture.

b- Having child identify with area history (the part

his Jancestors played) O-none mentioned Cby Means of the Human Development Program

23.0 BICULTURAL COMPONENT

23.1 This program is: 1-bilingual alone 2-bilingual and bicultural wilingual and multiquitural 23.1 2, 6



teacher accepts, acmouledges ideas and reelings 5-teacher encourages non-verbal expression of child's feelings through painting, music, dancing 6-teacher provides experiences in which the various ways that children act are accepted by the teacher; their actions are discussed and the children are encouraged not to make fun of "different" ways (7) teacher provides experiences leading to competency and Cp a7 success 8-teacher provides experiences where occasional failure is acknowledged as part of everyone's experience; second attempts are encouraged Qother (specify) (xerox or summarize) document page $\ddot{\pi}$ see KEROX 116-11 C. 21) Palomares Human Development Program Teacher provides experiences in pupil self-direction and acceptance of responsibility, such as: 10-pupils act as tutors for other pupils 11-puils have some options in choice of curriculum pupils choose activities from a variety of interest centers 13-older puils participate in curriculum planning and/or development 14-pupils write a bilingual newspaper for dissemination to the 19 exposure to cultural aspects will enhance selfcommunity 22.0 LEARNING STRATEGIES enable child to identify with others . 22.0 1-The project mentions the following specific learning strategies as important for reaching a particular ethno-linguistic group: Document and Page no. (specify or xerox) Example: Navajo children resist participation in an authoritarian, traditional classroom. An open classroom where teacher participates rather than directs all activities and students or groups of students initiate activites, move about freely or sit in a circle or horseshoe rather than sit in rows, has been found more effective. I The building up of self concept by:

a-accepting the child's home language and culture.

b- Having child identify with area history (the part his Jancestors played) O-none mentioned Cby Means of the Human Development Program 23.0 BICULTURAL COMPONENT 23.1 2,6 23.1 This program is: 1-bilingual alone (2-bilingual and bicultural 3-bilingual and multicultural O-not specified as to which of the above 4-an ethnic studies program is included in the bilingual program 5-art, posters, realia, crafts of both cultures are exhibited in the classroom 6 language and cultural content are integrated 7-other (specify)

23.2 Cross-cultural awareness: If project mentions specific values or nodes of behavior of N-EN culture, please summarize below: (or attach xerox) found in document , page n''0-not mentioned 23.3 1-if project mentions efforts to decrease ethnocentrism in either or both groups, describe below: (or xerox-document page/#) "There is very little, if any at all, of ethnocentrish at this age. (Quote from project verification) O-none mentioned 23.4 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 23.4 In the bicultural compenent knowledge of the N-HIT culture involves (mark all that apply) O-no bicultural component mentioned (1) Humanistic aspects of culture: ideals and values, literature (oral or written), achievement of particular people or political movements (2-) Historical-cultural heritage of the past--contributions to art and science 3 Deep' culture: family patterns and contemporary way of life. Itemization of surface aspects of a country-geography, dates of holidays etc. specific culture only e.g. one Indian tribe (6-)Various cultures of seme ethnic/linguistic group (i.e. Spanishspeaking peoples) see xEROX 11 a 7-A third culture different from NEIT or HIT 8-Other (specify) history and culture of the community Bpan. Am. culture of the South West 23.5 American culture is defined: 0-not specified 1-narrowly: primarily Anglo-Saxon orientation (2-broadly: ethnic pluralism of America-multicultural contributions of various ethnic groups discussed (3-bther(indicate document and page number for kerox) or elaborate in your own words see above ?-limited to one geograpic area (Southwest) 3-limited to one 24.0 COLLULITY COMPONENT climited amount of books) 24.1 Bilingual libraries are provided for: 24.1 1, 2, 3 O-group not specified project children adults of the project community 3-teachers

no-bilingual library not mentioned

page 18

```
23.3 1-if project mentions efforts to decrease ethnocentrism in
       either or both groups, describe below: (or xerox-document page/\frac{\mu}{\pi})
                     very little, if any at all, of ethnocentrish
     O-none mentioned
  "There
                     age. (Quote from project verification)
                                                                 23.4 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7
23.4 In the bicultural compenent knowledge of the N-NIT culture
      involves (mar all that apply)
      Q-no bicultural component mentioned
     (1-Humanistic aspects of culture: ideals and values, literature
        (oral or written), achievement of particular people or politcal
        movements
      2- Historical-cultural heritage of the past--contributions to art
         and science
      3) Deep' culture: family patterns and contemporary way of life.
      4-Itemization of surface aspects of a country-geography, dates
       of holidays etc.
     specific culture only e.g. one Indian tribe
     (6-Warious cultures of same ethnic/linguistic group (i.e. Spanish-
       speaking peoples) see XEROX 11 a
     7-A third culture different from NEAT or MAT
      8-0ther (specify)
         history and culture of the community
          Span. Am. culture of the South West
 23.5 American culture is defined:
      0-not specified
      1-narrowly: primarily Anglo-Saxon orientation
     (2-broadly: ethnic pluralism of America-myl roultural
        contributions of various ethnic groups discussed
     (3-bther(indicate document and page number for xerox) or
        elaborate in your own words see above - limited to one geograpic area (Southwest)
       3-limited to
 24.0 COLIDITITY CORPONENT
               climited amount of books)
                                                                   24.1 1 2 3
 24.1 Bilingual libraries are provided for:
      O-group not specified
      Oproject children
      adults of the project community
      3-teachers
      no-bilingual library not mentioned
                       (limited amount of books
                                                                  24.2 2,3
 24.2 An ethnic studies library is provided for:
      0-group not specified
       1-project children
      2 adults of the project community
      6-eachers
      no-ethnic studies library not mentioned
```

```
24.3 1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 7,12
     24.3 Provision is made by the school for informing the parents
          and community about the program through: (Mark all that apply)
            0-method not specified
            no-no provision for informing community
           (1) bilingual newsletter
           (2) a monolingual newsletter
            3) News sent to mass media.
            4) if articles included with project, check 4
                                                                           Pp15,52
             -bilingual fliers sent home
           62 formal meetings
            7-informal meetings open to entire community
            8-meetings conducted in both languages
            9-home visits
            10-other (specify)
            11-project director personally involved in program
               dissemination. specify how
            12 - Invitation for parents and community to visit project
     24.4 Community involvement in the formulation of school policies
                                                                               24.41, 3, 4
          and programs is sought through:
            0-type not specified
            no-not sought
            (1) existing community groups working with program
            2-bilingual questionnaires
Por Second ity-school staff committees

Por Second ity-school staff committees

Por Second ity advisory groups - 3 parents in planning committee:

5-formal meetins open to the entire community make recommendation
                                                                 make recommendations.
            6-informal meetings with community groups
                                                                 represent parents interests
            7-other (specify)
            8-project director personally seeks involvement of community
                                                                                  Ro. p37
               in program. specify how
                                                              assist in mobilizing community
              parents work as aides
                                                              resources
     24.5 The school keeps informed about community interests, events and
          problems through:
             no-no mention of school seeking to be informed about community
             1-meetings open to the entire community conducted in both
               languages
            (2) community representatives to the school
             3-bilingual questionnaire sent to the home
             4-home visits by school personnel
             5-other (specify)
             0-method not specified
                                                                                24.6
     24.6 The school is open to the community through:
             0-not mentioned
             no-school is not open to community for community use
             1-opening school facilities to the community at large for use
               after school hours and on weekends
                                                            Opportunity Mogram
```

2-providing adult education courses Career

5-other (specify)

8-meetings conducted in both languages 9-home visits 10-other (specify) 11-project director personally involved in program dissemination. specify how 12) - Invitation for parents and community to visit project 24.4 Community involvement in the formulation of school policies 24.4 1, 3, and programs is sought through: 0-type not specified no-not sought (1) existing community groups working with program 2-bilingual questionnaires Community-school staff committees P(2,54 Decommunity advisory groups - 3 parents in planning committee:
5-formal meetins open to the entire community make recommendation make recommendations 6-informal meetings with community groups represent parents interests 7-other (specify) 8-project director personally seeks involvement of community Ro. p37 in program. specify how assist in mobilizing community parents work as aides resources 24.5 The school keeps informed about community interests, events and problems through: no-no mention of school seeking to be informed about community 1-meetings open to the entire community conducted in both languages (2) community representatives to the school 3-bilingual questionnaire sent to the home 4-home visits by school personnel 5-other (specify) 0-method not specified 24.6 2 24.6 The school is open to the community through: 0-not mentioned no-school is not open to community for community use 1-opening school facilities to the community at large for use after school hours and on weekends 2-providing adult education courses Career Opportunity Program 5-other (specify) C. p 25 25.0 HPACT EVALUATION 25.1 Project mentions description or dissemination of the bilingual 25.1 1, 4, program through: (1-)newspaper articles Z-radio programs 3-TV programs video-tapes المركب Cp81 5-films 6-visitors to observe the program Jee XEROX 19a

7-informal meetings open to entire community

Objective 12

To improve school and community.relations

We attempted to improve relations between the school and community by making the parents feel a belonging to the program. Our results were not as rewarding as we would have liked them to be but the ice was certainly broken. We also presented our program over a local radio station and a local television station on two different occasions. We also took advantage of opportunities to speak before different groups regarding our program. Some of the groups we appeared before were:

- 1. PTA Groups
- 2. Community members groups
- 3. Model Cities Groups
- 4. College of Santa Fe Classes
- 5. Board of Education
- 6. Local Radio Station
- 7. Local Television Station
- 8. Regularly Scheduled Principals Meetings

Continuation 1971-72



25.2	Project's impact: 1-Project mentions that other classes in the school, but not in the program have picked up methods or material from the bilingual program 2-Project mentions other schools in the local educational system have started bilingual programs 3-Project mentions that a University has instituted teacher training courses in bilingual education to meet staff development needs	25.2 <u>2,3</u>
26.0	ROLE OF EVALUATOR	
26.1	Evaluator has field tested, on a group of children who are of the same language, culture and grade levels as the children in the bilingual program: O-not mentioned Doublished measures 2-staff developed measures 3-staff translations of published measures 4-staff adaptations of published measures	26.1
26.2	Evaluator has personally observed students in the program: O-not mentioned no-never 1-once or twice during the year 2-more than twice 3-regularly 4-other (specify)	26.2 2
26.3	Evaluator has met with teachers: O-not mentioned no-never 1-once or twice during year Thore than twice 3-regularly 4-other (specify)	26.3 <u>2</u>
	O-not specified	27.1
27.2	O-not specified (mark all that apply) 1 Pre-tests have been given to project group or sample 2- "will be " " 3 Post-tests have been given to project group or sample will be " " 6 Pre-tests have been given to comparison group	27.2 1, 3, 5, °
	will be " " " " " " " " " " " " " " " " " "	Cp59



TESTING SCHEDULE

Name of Test Date Administered September 17, 1970 Metropolitan Readiness 1. 2. November 2 - 4, 1970 Peabody Picture Vocabulary Test 3. Cultural/Self-Concept Test November 10, 1970 Metropolitan Achievement To be Administered Test Form A May 17, 1971 Peabody Picture Vocabulary Test 5. To be Administered May 13, 1971

